Land Acquisition and Resettlement Due Diligence Report

PUBLIC

Document Stage: Draft for Consultation

Project Number: 55201-001

March 2024

Bangladesh: Coastal Towns Climate Resilience Project – Construction of Four Roads in Lalmohon Pourashava, Bhola District

Package No.: e-GP/CTCRP/2021-22/LALM/RD/01

Prepared by Local Government Engineering Department, Government of Bangladesh for the Asian Development Bank (ADB).

CURRENCY EQUIVALENTS

(As of August 2023)

Currency unit - taka (Tk) Tk1.00 = \$0.009 \$1.00 = Tk109.75

ABBREVIATIONS

ADB - Asian Development Bank

AIDS - Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome

BAN - Bangladesh

CDTA - Capacity Development Technical Assistance CTCRP - Coastal Towns Climate Resilience Project

DDS - Detailed Design services

DPHE - Department of Public Health Engineering

FGD - Focus Group Discussion

GRM - Grievance Redress Mechanism
GRC - Grievance Redress Committee
IEE - Initial Environmental Examination

LGED - Local Government Engineering Department

PAM - Project Administrative Management

PAP - Project Affected Person
PIU - Project Implementation Unit

PPTA - Project Preparatory Technical Assistance

SCF - Strategic Climate Fund SIA - Social Impact Assessment TA - Technical Assistance

TLCC - Town Level Co-ordination Committee

SPS - Safeguard Policy Statement

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

°C - Degree Celsius cm - centimeters km - kilometer m - meter mm - millimeter

m² - micrograms per cubic meter

Tk - taka

% - percentage

NOTES

| (i) | The fiscal year (FY) of the Government of Bangladesh and its agencies ends on |
|-----|--|
| | 30 June. "FY" before a calendar year denotes the year in which the fiscal year |
| | ends, e.g., FY 2021 ends on 30 June2021. |

| (| ii) | In this report. | . "9 | 6" refers | to | United | States | dollars |
|---|-----|-----------------|------|-----------|----|--------|--------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | |

This Land Acquisition and Resettlement Due Diligence Report is a document of the borrower. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of ADB's Board of Directors, Management, or Staff, and may be preliminary in nature. Your attention is directed to the "terms of use" section of ADB's website.

In preparing any country program or strategy, financing any project, or by making any designation of or reference to a particular territory or geographic area in this document, ADB does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.

CONTENTS

| I. | INTR | CODUCTION | 1 |
|-------|----------|---|----------|
| | Α. | Background | 1 |
| | В. С. | Project Description Scope of Due Diligence Report | 1 4 |
| II. | | JECT DESCRIPTION | 4 |
| | Α. | About the Project Area | 4 |
| | B. | Location of the Site | 5 |
| III. | LAN | D AVAILABILITY AND RESETTLEMENT IMPACTS | 6 |
| | A. B. | Land Acquisition and Involuntary Resettlement Impacts on Indigenous Peoples | 6 9 |
| IV. | FIEL | D WORK AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION | 9 |
| | Α. | Outline of the Field Work | 9 |
| | В. С. | Public Consultation Focus Group Discussions | 10 11 |
| | D. | Summary of Discussions | 12 |
| | E. | Future Consultation and Disclosure | 15 |
| | F. | Findings | 15 |
| V. | _ | EVANCE REDRESS MECHANISM | 15 |
| VI. | | GET TO IMPLEMENT SUBPROJECT COMPONENT | 18 |
| VII. | | CLUSIONS | 19 |
| | A. B. | Summary and Conclusion Next Steps | 19 19 |
| | υ. | TOX Glope | |
| LIST | OF TA | BLES | |
| | | rict-wise Pourashavas where Project will be Implemented | 2 |
| | | ails of Proposed Roads | 2 |
| | | ad-Wise Details of Land Acquisition and Resettlement Impacts nmary of the Public Consultation | 7 11 |
| | | Igetary Provision for Implementation of DDR | 19 |
| LIST | OF FIG | GURES | |
| Figur | e 1: Ma | up of <i>Pourashava</i> | 6 |
| Figur | e 2: Go | ogle Earth Map of Lalmohon <i>Pourashava</i> | 8 |
| | | GD held at Selected Road Sites | 13 14 |
| _ | | isting Condition of the Selected Roads levance Redress Process | 18 |
| APP | ENDICE | ES . | |
| Anne | andiv 1: | Involuntary of Resettlement Screening Form | 21 |
| | | Indigenous Peoples Impact Screening Checklist | 23 |
| Appe | endix 3: | Minutes of Focus Group Discussion | 24 |
| Appe | endix 4: | Sample Grievance Registration Format | 31 |

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background

- The ADB supported Coastal Towns Infrastructure Environmental Infrastructure Project 1. (CTEIP) in 10 coastal towns effectively commenced in September 2014 which will be completed in June 2022. As a continuity of the project ADB extended his support to Coastal Towns Climate Resilience Project (CTCRP) which will strengthen climate resilience and disaster preparedness in 22 (twenty-two) vulnerable coastal *Pourashavas* (secondary towns) of Bangladesh. The towns were selected based on their vulnerability, population size, density, and level of past investments. The project takes a holistic and integrated approach to urban development and will (i) provide climate-resilient municipal infrastructure, and (ii) strengthen institutional capacity, local governance, and knowledge-based public awareness, for improved urban planning and service delivery considering climate change and disaster risks. Key infrastructure investments include (i) drainage, (ii) water supply, (iii) sanitation, (iv) cyclone shelters, and (v) other municipal infrastructure including emergency access roads and bridges. solid waste management, bus terminals, slum improvements, boat landings, and markets. Investments will benefit the poor and women. The Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Cooperatives (MLGRDC) acting through its Local Government Engineering Department (LGED) will be the Executing Agency. Pourashavas are the implementing agencies of the project.
- 2. Coastal towns are particularly at risk from the impacts of climate change due to high levels of poverty and limited capacity of *Pourashavas* (urban local governments) to invest in resilience. The *Pourashavas* lack resilient infrastructure, clubbed with haphazard urbanization, lack of storm water drains, poor solid waste management system further worsens the condition of these towns. Most of the coastal towns are situated on the riverbanks of low-lying tidal zones at an average elevation of 1.0–1.5 meters (m) from the sea level¹ and coastal flooding is a key hazard faced by these towns. Inadequate basic municipal infrastructure to respond to increasing climate risk threatens both quality of life and the economic growth of coastal towns. This calls for an integrated approach for coastaltown development that promotes risk-informed planning and investment for building resilience.

B. Project Description

- 3. The project will be aligned with the following impacts: higher and sustainable growth trajectories achieved in the face of the various weather-related natural hazards and risk and improved livability of coastal towns.² The outcome of the project will be climate and disaster resilience of coastal towns strengthened including benefiting the poor and women. The project directly supports to achieve project outcomes through three outputs.
- 4. **Output 1: Municipal infrastructure for resilience improved.** Municipal infrastructure will include (i) elderly people, women, children, and persons with disability friendly cyclone shelters; (ii) 240 kms emergency access roads including bridges and culverts which are critical for accessing emergency services; (iii) 272 kms of storm water drains constructed for improved flood control; (iv) water supply and sanitation services for unserved (or underserved) core urban areas and slum areas; (v) seven integrated solid waste management facilities; and (vi) slum improvement programs for basic service improvement implemented in each *Pourashava* following poverty reduction action plan.
- 5. Output 2: Resilient livelihood improved. Output 2 includes (i) livelihood training

¹ Sowmen Rahman and Mohammed Ataur Rahman. Climate Extremes and Challenges to Infrastructure Development in Coastal Cities in Bangladesh. Volume 7, March 2015, Pages 96–1

² Government of Bangladesh, General Economics Division, Bangladesh Planning Commission Ministry of Planning. 2020. Making Vision 2041 a Reality – Perspective Plan of Bangladesh, 2021–2041. Dhaka.

programs for diversifying the skills of poor households including women, (ii) strengthening market linkages for local economic development, (iii) inventory of productive assets of each household maintained and insured, and (iv) the Graduation Approach and Program will be adopted to ensure livelihood resilience.3

- Institutional capacity, 6. governance, Output 3: and climate-awareness strengthened. Output 3 includes (i) capacity of LGED and Pourashavas strengthened to undertake climate and disaster risk assessment to inform the formulation and update urban development plans; and enforcement of development control regulations that factor in natural hazards considerations: (ii) knowledge and capacity of LGED and Pourashavas on NbS and green solution application developed; (iii) municipal governance, and sustainable service delivery improved; and (iv) public awareness, behavior change, and community mobilization enhanced in light of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and recent severe cyclones.
- 7. The proposed CTCRP is to be implemented in 22 Pourashavas or urban local bodies (ULBs). District-wise location of the towns is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: District-wise Pourashavas where Project will be Implemented

| Table 1. District-wise i ourasnavas where i roject will be implemented | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| District | Town (<i>Pourashava</i>) | District | Town (<i>Pourashava</i>) | | | | | |
| 1. Barisal | 1. Bakerganj | 5.Bhola | 1. Charfassion | | | | | |
| | Mehendiganj | | 2. Lalmohon | | | | | |
| | Banaripara | | 3. Borhanuddin | | | | | |
| | 4. Muladi | 6. Jhalokathi | 4. Jhalokathi | | | | | |
| | Gouranadi | | 5. Nalchity | | | | | |
| Bagerhat | 6. Bagerhat | 7. Satkhira | 6. Kalaroa | | | | | |
| | 7. Morelganj | 8. Khulna | 7. Paikgacha | | | | | |
| Patuakhali | 8. Patuakhali | | 8. Chalna (Dacope) | | | | | |
| | 9. Kuakata | 9. Pirojpur | 9. Swarupkathi | | | | | |
| 4. Shariatpur | 10. Zanjira | 10. Barguna | 10. Patharghata | | | | | |
| | 11. Bhedarganj | | 11. Betagi | | | | | |

Construction of 4 RCC roads in Lalmohon Pourashava, District Bhola is one of the 8. proposed sub-project components of CTCRP. The proposed roads will improve connectivity of project area and improve transportation facility. The following are the proposed works:

Table 2: Details of Proposed Roads

| SI. | Name of | Start | Existin | Length | | Proposed | k | Remar |
|-----|---|--|-------------------|--------------|---------------|----------|-----------------------|---|
| No | Roads | and End Point (Wards Covere d) | g Featur e | (m) | Widt h (m) | Туре | Road side Drain | ks |
| 1 | Construction of Road by RCC Road Starting from R & H Road to Nurul Islam Chowdhury Sarak (Hasn Hang) (Ch 0+000 to | R&H Road to Nurul Islam Chowdh ury Sarsk | W=3.0 0m BC | 1,100.0 0 | 3.00 | RCC | Yes | Road Side Drain Ch 465.00- 1118.0 0 = 653.0m (Sl. 1 at drain Schem) |

³ The graduation program originated in Bangladesh and has since been adopted in several countries as a holistic, time-bound interventions to lift households from poverty through: (i) social assistance to support immediate needs; (ii) livelihood promotion; (iii) financial inclusion; and (iv) social empowerment.

| SI. | Name of | Start | Existin | Length | Proposed | | | Remar |
|-----|--|--|--|--------------|--|------|-----------------------|---|
| No | Roads | and End Point (Wards Covere d) | g Featur e | (m) | Widt h (m) | Туре | Road side Drain | ks |
| | 1+100) at Ward No.01 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Construction of Road by RCC Road Starting from R & H Road to Nurul Islam Chowdhury Sarak (Hasan Hang Sarak) (Ch 0+000 to 0+478) at Ward N0.02 | R&H road to Nurul Islam Chowdh ury Sarak (Hasan Hang Sarak) | W=3.0 m BC | 478.00 | 3.00 | RCC | No | |
| 3 | Construction of Road by RCC start from R & H to Paura Last Point (Karim Road) at ward no # 04 (Ch 0+000 to 1+450) | R&H to Paura Last Point (Karim Road) | W=3.6 m BC | 1,450.0 0 | 3.66 | RCC | No | |
| 4 | Construction of RCC Road Start from Rashid Master Sarak to Nurunnabi Chowdhury Sarak (Launch Ghat Sarak with Slum area) (Ch 0+000 to 0+975) & Link Road (Ch. 0+000 to 0+234) starting at Ch. 0+542 @ main Road at Ward No.06 | Rashid Master Sarak to Nurunna bi Chowdh ury Sarak (Launch Ghat sarak with Slum area) | (Ch 0.00 to 0+400) W=4.0 m BC (Ch 0+400 to 0+975) W=3.0 0m BC (Ch 0+00 to 0+234) W=3.0 0m BC | 1,209.0 | (Ch 0+00 to 0+40 0) W=4. 0m (Ch 0+40 0 to 0+97 5) W=3. 00m Ch 0+00 to 0+23 4) W=3. 00m | RCC | Yes | Roadsi de drain Ch 0.00m-450.00 m (SL 2 at drain Schem) & (Previous scheme Rasulb ag Road at serial 4 is deleted by previous scheme SI11, now as SI-10) |

| SI. | Name | of | Start | Existin | Length | | Propose | d | Remar |
|-------|---|----|---|------------------|--------|---------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| No | Roads | | and End Point (Wards Covere d) | g Featur e | (m) | Widt h (m) | Туре | Road side Drain | ks |
| Total | Length | | • | | | | | | 4,237km |
| Note: | Note: Widening and improvement works will be done within the existing ROW | | | | | | | | |

C. Scope of Due Diligence Report

- 9. The report is prepared based on the available preliminary design and the Sub-Project Appraisal Report (SPAR) prepared for this project and relevant sections of the Capacity Development Technical Assistance (CDTA) Report; discussions with related stakeholders and PIU/Pourashava authorities and consultation with the Department of Public Health Engineering (DPHE) personnel.
- 10. Social safeguards due diligence has been conducted to examine the land acquisition and resettlement issues in detail based on the preliminary design and information, aligned with Asian Development Bank's Safeguard Policy Statement (ADB SPS), 2009. All construction work will use *Pourashava* land, and no private land acquisition or involuntary resettlement impacts have been identified as the proposed land is free from any encumbrances.
- 11. Any adverse impact in terms of permanent or temporary such as land acquisition, physical displacement, economic displacement, adverse impact on livelihood, community properties or any other impact is not anticipated due to the proposed project. This report describes the findings and provides copies of relevant documents, community consultations and photographs.
- 12. The DDR will be updated during design verification by the contractor, in case of any design or alignment change. The updated document will be submitted to ADB for clearance, prior to start of construction. The Executive Engineer of the concerned *Pourashava* will be the focal person for all social safeguard related issues. The draft DDR will be reviewed and disclosed on MLGRDC, LGED and ADB websites.

II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. About the Project Area

- 13. Lalmohon's original name was Meherganj. It is named after Honorable Meher Ali Howlader. Sunsequently, Lalmohon *Mouza* was written in the place of Meherganj Mouza in the place of Meherganj Mouza by the Tahsildar of a Khas Mahal named Lalmohon Kundu. Then this area came to be known as Lalmohon on 7 January 1919.
- 14. Lalmohon *Pourashava* was formed on 9 December 1990 as a "C" class *Pourashava* with an area of 8.79 square km. and 24 December 2001 was upgraded to "B" class *pourashava* and promoted to "A" class *pourashava* on 21 June 2006. A canal runs inside the *Pourashava*, the *Pourashava* situated on both sides of the canal. Lalmohon *Pourashava* is located in the middle of Bhola district and has developed as a centre of trade and commerce. Mulla Jame Masjid and *Pourau* Super Market are in the heart of the town. Currently about 21,080 people (male 11,013 and female 10,067) are living in Lalmohon *Pourashava*, out of which 85% are Muslim and 15% are Hindus. The *Pourashava* has a total of 25.30 km pucca road, 8.28 km

kancha road, 3.227 km pucca drain, 31.50 km kancha drain.⁴


- 15. There are eight *Haats* (growth centre) and markets, two government hospitals, 1 pourau shopping mall, 1 government college, 2 private colleges, 1 kamil madrasa, 1 dakhil madrasa. 5 secondary schools, 3 kindergarten, 5 Pourau Markets, 8 public toilets, 1 fire service station, 1 Eidgah (Religious place, generally Muslim takes prayer twice in a year), 1 graveyard, 1 crematory, 30 mosques, 2 temples and 1,275 ponds.
- 16. Average literacy rate of the *Pourashava* is 60.3% (male 63.3% and female 57.2%⁵. The *Pourashava* consists of 9 wards and 1 elected mayor, 9 councilors and 3 reserved female councilors are working as public representative. Civic services include *Pourashava* cleanliness, street lighting, construction and repair of roads, drains, culverts. During various calamities clean water supply and various services are provided to the victims. The *Pourashava* has plans to strengthen the provision of citizen services by increasing collection of revenue by taking up income generating activities. Lalmohon *Pourashava* also has plans to develop as a tourist centre with roads, sewerage modernization, construction of children's park, installation of cable cars, modernization of entertainment spots.

B. Location of the Site

- 17. The proposed alignments for the roads under Lalmohon *Pourashava*, District: Bhola are located within the *Pourashava* area, which are mostly within urban and semi urban areas. Selection of roads has followed the criteria included in Volume -4 of PPTA and Appendix 1 of Project Administration Manual Table 1 according to the scoring matrices for scheme prioritization.
- 18. The project consists of three components i) improved climate-resilient infrastructure ii) strengthening institutional capacity, governance, and awareness, and iii) project management and administrative support. The outcome of the project will be improved access to climate disaster resilience municipal services including i) municipal infrastructure such as drainage, cyclone shelters, urban roads, bridges, culverts, solid waste management, bus terminals, slum improvement, boat landing and markets; ii) water supply and iii) sanitation.
- 19. Total length of RCC Pavement is 4,237km. To mitigate the water logging problem, we also designed the drain along the roadside with Footpath facilities as improve the urban facilities. We also designed the RCC drains, Cross culverts and protection work as precast RCC pile with cast in situ RCC vertical protection wall as per field requirements. Figure 1 for a location of proposed selected roads to be constructed/ improved under the Project.

⁴ লালমোহন পৌরসভা লালমোহন, ভোলা (Lalmohonpaurashava.gov.bd)

⁵ Population and Housing Census 2011, Community Report, Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Statistics and Information Division, Ministry of Planning.



III. LAND AVAILABILITY AND RESETTLEMENT IMPACTS

A. Land Acquisition and Involuntary Resettlement

20. **Proposed Site for Road:** The scope of land acquisition and involuntary resettlement is assessed during the field visits and data collection. The project will not result into any permanent or temporary land acquisition, and no involuntary resettlement impact is envisaged, as the proposed site and development activities are within the vacant land of existing roads. All the construction works will be undertaken for the implementation of the proposed roads within the existing roads and within the right-of way. All the construction works will be undertaken for implementation of the proposed selected roads. Efforts have been made by the DDS engineering team to avoid any resettlement impact by careful design and verification of the proposals given in the Feasibility Study of the PPTA reports. As per design, the construction / improvement will not have resettlement impacts the structures (residential and commercial), and common properties within the vicinity of the selected roads.

Table 3: Road-Wise Details of Land Acquisition and Resettlement Impacts

| | | | and Resettlement Impacts | | | |
|---------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| SI. No. | Name of Roads | Start and End Point (Wards Covered) | Road-wise Details of Land Ownership and Involuntary Resettlement | | | |
| 1 | Construction of Road by RCC Road Starting from R & H Road to Nurul Islam Chowdhury Sarak (Hasn Hang) (Ch 0+000 to 1+100) at Ward No.01 | R&H Road to Nurul Islam Chowdhury Sarsk | All the construction works will be undertaken for the implementation of the proposed roads within the existing roads and within the right-of way under the ownership of Pourashava. No land acquisition and resettlement is assessed. | | | |
| 2 | Construction of Road by RCC Road Starting from R & H Road to Nurul Islam Chowdhury Sarak (Hasan Hang Sarak) (Ch 0+000 to 0+478) at Ward No02 | R & H Road to Nurul Islam Chowdhury Sarak | All the construction works will be undertaken for the implementation of the proposed roads within the existing roads and within the right-of way under the ownership of Pourashava. No land acquisition and resettlement is assessed. | | | |
| 3 | Construction of Road by RCC start from R & H to Paura Last Point (Karim Road) at Ward No 04 (Ch 0+000 to 1+450) | R & H to Paura Last Point (Karim road) | All the construction works will be undertaken for the implementation of the proposed roads within the existing roads and within the right-of way under the ownership of Pourashava. No land acquisition and resettlement is assessed. | | | |
| | Construction of RCC Road Start from Rashid Master Sarak to Nurunnabi Chowdhury Sarak (Launch Ghat sarak with Slum area) (Ch 0+000 to 0+975) & Link Road (Ch. 0+000 to 0+234) Starting at Ch. 0+542 @ Main Road at Ward No.06 | Rashid Master Sarak to Nurunnabi Chowdhury Sarak | All the construction works will be undertaken for the implementation of the proposed roads within the existing roads and within the right-of way under the ownership of Pourashava. No land acquisition and resettlement is assessed. | | | |



Figure 2: Google Earth Map of Lalmohon Pourashava

Source: Google Earth Pro, Image 2024

- 21. The contract is planned to be implemented over a period of 12 months. Detailed designs have been prepared; the DDR will be updated during design verification by the contractor, in case of any change in design or alignment. The package for the construction of the proposed road is to be implemented by post-qualified contractors under a single envelope single stage bidding process through National Competitive Bidding (NCB) procedures.
- 22. The construction schedule of roads depends on the methodology adopted for construction. The stated time period will depend on the resources put in place by the contractor. Pre-construction activities include detailed design, bidding process (Notice inviting Bids, Bid submission, evaluation and award of work to the contractor). The preconstruction activities will be completed within a 6-month period. The summarized Construction Schedule is given in the Initial Environmental Examination (IEE) Report. The general arrangement drawings including typical details, plans, cross-sectional details and long sections are also given in **Subsection 5**.
- 23. The project is not likely to have any adverse social impacts on the project area, other than the short-term impacts during the construction phase. The baseline data for this Due Diligence Report was collected from primary and secondary sources. The primary sources include site visits and visual inspection. The secondary sources include reports, books, maps and legal documents from various government and non-government organizations on subject matter.
- 24. The impacts are assessed based on preliminary design and standard methodology for the review, field visit data collection and impact assessment. The Bangladesh National Acts, Legislation and Laws were consulted with a view to ensuring compliance with the relevant requirements.
- 25. The outcome of the CTCRP interventions will be to improve access to, and availability of, climate-disaster resilient municipal services, including (i) municipal infrastructure such as drainage, cyclone shelters, urban roads, bridges, culverts, solid waste management, bus terminals, slum improvements, boat landings, and markets, (ii) water supply, and (iii)

sanitation.

26. Detailed designs were prepared; the DDR will be updated during design verification by the contractor, in case of any alignment or design change. The DDR will be updated according to the proposed change and the updated document will be submitted to ADB for clearance, prior to start of construction. The subprojects will avoid land acquisition. There are no anticipated adverse impacts in terms of involuntary resettlement or indigenous people for the 4 selected road of the projects. In case land acquisition is required, negotiated settlement and voluntary land donation will be encouraged as guided in the Resettlement Framework. The project will ensure social safeguards mitigation measures are implemented in accordance with the Resettlement Framework, adhering to the ADB SPS, 2009.

B. Impacts on Indigenous Peoples

- 27. According to ADB Safeguard Categories, Projects are classified into the following four categories in terms of indigenous people:
 - (i) **Category A**. A proposed project is likely to have significant impacts on indigenous peoples. An Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP), including assessment of social impacts, is required;
 - (ii) **Category B**. A proposed project is likely to have limited impacts on indigenous peoples. An IPP, including assessment of social impacts, is required;
 - (iii) **Category C**. A proposed project is not expected to have impacts on indigenous peoples. No further action is required; and
 - (iv) **Category FI**. A proposed project involves the investment of ADB funds to or through a financial intermediary. The financial intermediary must apply and maintain an environmental and social management system, unless all of the financial intermediary's business activities unlikely to have impacts on indigenous peoples.
- 28. There are no Tribes, Minor Races, Ethnic Sects and Communities' (TMRESC) present in the Lalmohon *Pourashava*, hence no impact on Indigenous peoples (IPs) is assessed. All the proposed construction activities will be done within the right of way and along the existing road routes, on Government land. Therefore, no Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP) will be needed for this sub-project.

IV. FIELD WORK AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION

A. Outline of the Field Work

- 29. The DDR was prepared in consultation with the stakeholders. Meetings and individual interviews were held by involving related stakeholders. Consultations have been made with the people of the locality along with the *Pourashava* personnel and related authorities. Public consultation with the stakeholders has been conducted to understand the local issues and public views, concerns and suggestions including the possible impact for the project intervention. The issues like, awareness and extent of the project and development components, benefits of project as well as community, labour availability in the project area or requirement of outside labour involvement, local disturbances due to project construction work, any drainage problem, drinking water problem, and any sensitive area nearby the project road sites.
- 30. During subproject preparation, consultations were held with the official representatives of the line agencies, and also the communities from the selected road sites and the nearby project areas. None of the community members opposed the sub-project.

B. Public Consultation

- 31. Public participation and community consultation has been taken up as an integral part of the social assessment process of the project. Consultation was used as a tool to inform and educate stakeholders about the proposed action both before and after the development decisions were made. It assisted in the identification of the impacts problems associated with the project as well as the needs of the Project Affected People (PAP).
- 32. This participatory process helped in reducing the public resistance to change and enabled the participation of the local people in the decision making process. Initial Public consultation has been carried out in the project areas with the objectives of minimizing probable adverse impacts of the project and to achieve speedy implementation of the project through bringing in awareness among the community on the benefits of the project.
- 33. As part of the project consultations, efforts were made to consult with the public as well as a number of local authorities, to determine their thoughts, opinions and feedback on the impact of the proposed construction/improvement of the selected roads. Information and comments collected from the public early in the study process were of use.
- 34. Different stakeholders were consulted to give them the opportunity to express their views and concerns. As part of the process, they were also provided with relevant and sufficient information on the project prior to its start up. These stakeholders include the central and local authorities, as well as the population so as to determine their thoughts, opinions and feedback on the impact of the project. The main groups of stakeholders met are: local authorities, community people and schools, colleges, mosque, *Madrassa* and cooperative leaders. During the consultative process, beside the local authorities and ordinary population (potential road users), other related roadside users cum organizations were also invited to attend the consultation meetings.
- 35. During these consultations, the project explained to the communities about the project, its benefits, social and environmental impacts. The participants were encouraged to be open and make known their concerns and claims. The presentation highlighted the project background, objectives, expected upcoming activities, social economic information, and environmental information. The salient features of the meetings are presented below: (i) create awareness of the project; and to obtain stakeholders responses, feedback and concerns on the project. After the presentations, the community was given opportunity to give their views, comments, and queries. The concerns of the communities were addressed during the meeting in which the local participants expressed repeatedly their main concerns as follows road connectivity and access issues, prospects of jobs and income generating activities; and potential disruption to road users and public access during construction phase.
- 36. Comments or questions raised by stakeholders were responded to. The consultant explained that positive and negative impacts of the project on people and the environment will be analysed such as air pollution, dust, influx of people, employment. All the participants confirmed that they appreciate the Project. The project received high degree of acceptability, which will boost local economy due to improved climate change resilient designs, road access and assured durability.
- 37. The data obtained from public consultation and views as well as concerns from different stakeholders are given is details in Table 4.

Table 4: Summary of the Public Consultation

| 01 | Question | What is the construction plan of the proposed Roads? |
|----|----------|---|
| | Answer | Proposed road will be 4,237km length and 3.00m to 3.66m width RCC and BC road and drain. |
| 02 | Question | Who is responsible for construction and operations? |
| | Answer | Pourashava will play role as implementing agency in construction, operation, and maintenance of the proposed roads in the Pourashava area |
| 03 | Question | Who will Pourashava support cost for major maintenance? |
| | Answer | This is the responsibility of the <i>Pourashava</i> to manage required fund for repair and maintenance, as well as logistics during disaster period for realizing development objective of this project |
| 04 | Question | What will be the criteria for labour recruitment during construction? |
| | Answer | This largely depends on the types of job and will be assessed on a case tocase basis by the contractor according to needs. |
| 05 | Question | Priority for jobs should also consider women; women can do the same work. |
| | Answer | Priority will include women and there will not be any discrimination. |
| 06 | Question | Raised the issue of employment, he suggested that the local people shouldbe the first ones to be employed in the project. |
| | Answer | The consultant team explained that local people will be employedaccordingly to job requirement. |
| 07 | Question | Wishes to speed up the project? |
| | Answer | It was explained that implementation will take place immediately after therainy season. |
| 08 | Question | The construction creates lot of dust and noise, is there any increaseanticipated. |
| | Answer | The increase in dust and noise will be at construction site and all mitigation measures will be put in place by the contractor |

C. Focus Group Discussions

- 38. As part of the impact assessment, the consultants conducted through FGD with selected group. Focus groups are useful in obtaining a particular kind of information that would be difficult to obtain using other methodologies. A focus group typically can be defined as a group of people who possess certain characteristics and provide information of a qualitative nature in a focused discussion. Focus Group Discussion (FGD) provides the opportunity to stimulate discussions and interaction between small groups of people from a similar sociocultural background. This enables the consultants to have a diversity of ideas related to the topic.
- 39. Public consultations through FGD were conducted on 26th June 2023 and 15 February 2024 with 66 local people attendees (Male-52 and Female-14). The objective of the FGD's was to further appraise the stakeholders about the current progress of the subproject and to reiterate environmental and social impacts of the proposed subproject and safeguards to mitigate the same. Comments or questions raised by the group were discussed until they were satisfied with the level of information provided.
- 40. Key issues discussed were similar to those already voiced during the community consultation meetings and included:
 - (i) Community benefits realized as a result of the road schemes;
 - (ii) Resettlement and social issues and mitigation measures according to Resettlement Framework prepared and approved by ADB and government Bangladesh for this project;
 - (iii) Participation of local community during the construction phase;

- (iv) Roles and responsibilities of different stakeholders for realizing desired outcome;
- (v) Potential social and economic impacts of the proposed road construction/improvement;
- (vi) Awareness of the local community about the proposed roads;
- (vii) Opinion of the local people about its need;
- (viii) Community support and participation;
- (ix) Construction and maintenance of the roads; and
- (x) Participation of local people for construction and maintenance.

D. Summary of Discussions

- 41. The present condition of the roads is quite bad, and need be improved for smooth transportation system. Local people expressed their deep interest for development of the selected roads under the Project. People requested *Pourashava* to improve their roads and also told that if any structures need to be moved or trees need to be cut for the improvement of the roads, they will do so voluntarily. The *Pourashava* officials assured the people that they will provide them with any help they need. The people appreciated ADB's Resettlement Policy for eligibility criteria, entitlement for compensation and resettlement assistance, as well as the provisions of the approved Resettlement Framework for the project.
- 42. The roads schemes will improve socioeconomic conditions of the local people through creating more opportunity of income and employment, as well as exploiting local resources for boosting local productions.
- 43. Refer to **Figure 3** for the Photographs Plates of the FGD held at the respective road sites, **Figure 4** Photographs Plates of existing road condition. Refer to **Appendix 3** of the minutes of focus group discussion.



44. Photographs Plates of the existing roads to be improved in **Figure 4**.



E. Future Consultation and Disclosure

- 45. This DDR and other relevant documents will be made available at public locations in the *Pourashava* and posted on the websites of executing agencies and ADB. The consultation process will be continued and expanded during the project implementation, to ensure stakeholders participate fully in project execution, as well as to implement comprehensive information, education, and communication plan.
- 46. The public consultation and disclosure program, with all interested stakeholders and affected parties, will remain a continuous process throughout the project implementation, and shall include the following:
 - (i) Consultations during construction phase: (a) public meetings with affected communities to discuss and plan work programs and allow issues to be raised and addressed once construction has started; and (b) smaller scale meetings to discuss and plan construction work with individual communities to reduce disturbance and other impacts, and to provide a mechanism through which stakeholders can participate in project monitoring and evaluation.
 - (ii) **Project information disclosure:** (a) public information campaigns (via newspaper, flyers, and media) to explain the project to the wider city population and prepare them for disruptions they may experience once construction is underway; (b) public disclosure meetings at key project stages to inform the public of progress and future plans, and to provide copies of summary documents in local language; (c) formal disclosure of completed project reports by making copies available at convenient locations in the study areas, and informing the public of their availability; and (d) providing a mechanism through which comments can be made.

F. Findings

- 47. In this subproject intervention, no impacts whether full or partial, permanent or temporary, physical and economic displacements are assessed.
- 48. The construction will be done in systematic manner according to the set work plan of the Contractor and employees would not be removed from the site during whole implementation period.
- 49. The proposed project does not have any adverse impact on the status of women and/or girls or to widen gender inequality.

V. GRIEVANCE REDRESS MECHANISM

- 50. A project-specific grievance redress mechanism (GRM) has been established to receive, evaluate, and facilitate the resolution of AP's concerns, complaints, and grievances about the social and environmental performance at the level of the project. The GRM will aim to provide a time-bound and transparent mechanism to voice and resolve social and environmental concerns linked to the project. Assessment of the GRM designed and implemented for Coastal Towns Climate Resilience Project (CTCRP) shows that the system is effective in timely resolution of grievances in a transparent manner. The arrangements under CTCRP is appropriately adjusted to accommodate the change in institutional arrangements under CTCRP.
- 51. **Common GRM.** A common GRM is in place for social, environmental, or any other grievances related to the project; the RPs, RSECPs and IEEs will follow the GRM described below, which is developed in consultation with key stakeholders. The GRM will provide an accessible and trusted platform for receiving and facilitating resolution of affected persons"

grievances related to the project. The multi-tier GRM for the project is outlined below, each tier having time-bound schedules and with responsible persons identified to address grievances and seek appropriate persons advice at each stage, as required.

- 52. Across the *pourashava*, public awareness campaigns will ensure that awareness on grievance redress procedures is generated through the campaign. The project implementation unit (PIU) under the guidance of Assistant Director RPMU will conduct *pourashava*-wide awareness campaigns to ensure that poor and vulnerable households are 13 made aware of grievance redress procedures and entitlements and will work with the PIU safeguards assistant to help ensure that their grievances are addressed.
- 53. Affected persons (APs) will have the flexibility of conveying grievances/suggestions by dropping grievance redress/suggestion forms in complaints/suggestion boxes that will be installed by project *pourashavas* or through telephone hotlines at accessible locations, by email, by post, WhatsApp or by writing in a complaints register that will be kept in *pourashava* offices. Appendix 4 has the sample grievance registration form. Careful documentation of the name of the complainant, date of receipt of the complaint, address/contact details of the person, location of the problem area, and how the problem was resolved will be undertaken. The Assistant Directors from project management unit (PMU), RPMU and Project Implementation Unit (PIU) will have the overall responsibility for timely grievance redressed on environmental and social safeguards issues and for registration of grievances, related disclosure, and communication with the aggrieved party.
- 54. **Grievance redress process.** In case of grievances that are immediate and urgent in the perception of the complainant, the Social Coordinator, Contractor and Social Safeguard and Environment Specialist from the project management and supervision consultants (PMSC) on-site will provide the most easily accessible or first level of contact for quick resolution of grievances. The contact phone numbers and names of the concerned PIU safeguards assistant, contractors, PMU safeguards officer, PMSC environmental and social safeguards specialists will be posted at all construction sites at visible locations.
- 55. **1st Level Grievance,** *Pourashava* **Level PIU.** The contractors, PIU Safeguard and Gender Focal person can immediately resolve issues on-site or at *pourashava* level in consultation with each other with the support of Administrative Officer of *pourashava*, designated municipal ward councilor and will be required to do so within 7 days of receipt of a complaint/grievance. Assistance of ward level coordination committees (WLCC) will be sought if required for resolution of the issue, by any one or all of them jointly. The first level grievance redress team will comprise of the following members:
 - (i) Chief Executive Officer or in his absence Pourashava Secretary:
 - (ii) Executive Engineer, Pourashava (Safeguard and Gender Focal person);
 - (iii) Administrative Officer, Pourashava;
 - (iv) Municipal Ward Councilor (designated); and
 - (v) EHS Supervisor/Social Coordinator, Contractor.
- 56. The town-level grievance redress team shall have at least one women member. In addition, for project-related grievances, representatives of affected persons, communitybased organizations (CBOs), and eminent citizens must be invited as observers in GRC meetings. In case of any impacts on Tribes, TMRESC, in subproject towns (example: Kuakata), the grievance redress team must have representation of the affected MRESC, the chief of the TMRESC group as traditional arbitrator (to ensure that traditional grievance redress systems are integrated) and/or an NGO working with TMRESC.
- 57. **2nd Level Grievance, RPMU, Division Level.** All grievances that cannot be redressed within 7 days at PIU level will be brought up to the RPMU level. Second level

grievance redress team headed by the Deputy Project Director, RPMU supported by the Assistant Directors (environment, social safeguard and gender) and Construction Supervision and Safeguards Engineers /Asst. Supervision and Safeguards Engineers, PMSC will attempt to resolve the grievance /complaint within 7 days. At the RPMU level, the composition of 2nd level grievance redress team will be as follows:

- (i) Deputy Project Director;
- (ii) Assistant Director (Environmental Safeguards);
- (iii) Assistant Director (Social Safeguards) 14; and
- (iv) Assistant Director (Gender) supported by Construction Supervision and Safeguards Engineers / Asst. Supervision and Safeguards Engineers, PMSC.
- 58. **3rd Level Grievance, PMU Level.** All grievances that cannot be redressed within 7 days at RPMU level will be brought up to the PMU level. The RPMU safeguards team will refer any unresolved or major issues to the PMU level grievance redress team, that will be headed by the Project Director and will have Deputy Project Director, social safeguard, environment safeguards and gender Assistant Directors and PMSC, who will resolve the complaints/grievances within 15 days. The PMU level grievance team will comprise of:
 - (i) Project Director, PMU;
 - (ii) Deputy Project Director (Safeguards);
 - (iii) Assistant Director (Environment);
 - (iv) Assistant Director (Social Safeguards); and
 - (v) Assistant Director (Gender) supported by Social, Environment and Gender Specialist, PMSC.
- 59. The grievance redress process is represented in **Figure 5**.
- 60. Despite the project GRM, an aggrieved person shall have access to the country's legal system at any stage and accessing the country's legal system can run parallel to accessing the GRM and is not dependent on the negative outcome of the GRM.
- 61. In the event that the established GRM is not in a position to resolve the issue, the affected person also can use the ADB Accountability Mechanism (AM) through directly contacting (in writing) the Complaint Receiving Officer (CRO) at ADB headquarters or the ADB Bangladesh Resident Mission (BRM). Before submitting a complaint to the Accountability Mechanism, it is necessary that an affected person makes a good faith effort to solve the problem by working with the concerned ADB operations department and/or BRM. Only after doing that, and if they are still dissatisfied, will the Accountability Mechanism consider the compliant eligible for review. The complaint can be submitted in any of the official languages of ADB's developing member countries. The ADB Accountability Mechanism information will be included in the project-relevant information to be distributed to the affected communities, as part of the project GRM.




Figure 5: Grievance Redress Process

GRC = grievance redressal committee; PIU = project implementation unit; PMSC = project management and supervision consultants; PMU = project management unit Note: In case of project towns where impacts to TMRESC are assessed, the PIU-level grievance redress committee (GRC)/team will have representation of the affected TMRESC.

- 62. **Record keeping.** Records will be kept by PIU of all grievances received, including contact details of complainant, date the complaint was received, nature of grievance, agreed corrective actions and the date of the incident and final outcome. The number of grievances recorded and resolved and the outcomes will be displayed/disclosed in the PMU office, municipal office, and on the web, as well as reported in the safeguards monitoring reports submitted to ADB on a semi-annual basis.
- 63. Periodic review and documentation of lessons learned. The PMU safeguard officer will periodically review the functioning of the GRM in each town and record information on the effectiveness of the mechanism, especially on the project's ability to prevent and address grievances.
- 64. **Costs.** All costs involved in resolving the complaints (meetings, consultations, communication and reporting/information dissemination) will be borne by the concerned PIU at town-level; while costs related to escalated grievances will be met by the PMU. Any transportation costs incurred by affected persons or their representatives (e.g., CBOs, TMRESC representative/NGOs) to attend GRC meetings will be reimbursed by the concerned PIU. Cost estimates for grievance redress are included in resettlement cost estimates.

VI. BUDGET TO IMPLEMENT SUBPROJECT COMPONENT

65. A budget is included in this due diligence for implementation of the subproject component, 'Construction of 4 roads' under Lalmohon *Pourashava*. The budget will include (i) conducting detailed measurement survey in case of design or alignment changes at design verification stage, (ii) consultation and grievance redress cost, and (iii) contingency provision. The budget is outlined in **Table 4**.

Table 5: Budgetary Provision for Implementation of DDR

| Item Description | Unit | Rate (BDT) | Total Amount (BDT) |
|-----------------------------|------|------------|-----------------------|
| DMS Survey for DDR updating | LS | | 1,50,000.00 |
| Consultation | LS | | 1,50,000.00 |
| Grievance Redress | | | 1,50,000.00 |
| Sub-total | | | 4,50,000.00 |
| Contingency (20%) | LS | | 90,000.00 |
| Grand total | | | 5,40,000.00 |

VII. CONCLUSIONS

A. Summary and Conclusion

- 66. It is concluded from the due diligence exercise that the proposed infrastructure improvements which include construction/improvement of the 4 roads, totalling 4,237 km. in Lalmohon *Pourashava*, District: Bhola will not incur resettlement impacts. No person will incur loss of income because of the project intervention. However, in case any claims or complaints are submitted during the construction period, an effective and efficient Grievance Redress Mechanism has been established, and mitigation measures will be adopted as per the approved EMP. This will enhance the provision of timely and sensible hearings and facilitate solutions.
- 67. This information has been disseminated during the public consultations with stakeholders including local personnel who have attended the public consultation sessions. During public consultation, recommendations were drawn including: i) involve local communities in all stages of project planning and development, ii) establish permanent communication between project initiators and local authorities, iii) setup a grievance redress mechanism which will publicized through *Pourashava* level coordination committee and monitoring register and iv) during construction, local people including women shall be given first priority in the employment of skilled and unskilled labour.
- 68. There is no involuntary resettlement involved in this sub-project, therefore preparation of a resettlement plan is not required with respect to the involuntary resettlement impact. Hence, this due diligence report has been prepared for documentation and official record. This report summarizes the assessment of project impact and outcome with reference to the relevant maps, drawings and related documents. Hence, the screening checklist is prepared and submitted in **Appendix 1**.

B. Next Steps

- 69. The DDR will be updated during design verification by the contractor; in case of any design or alignment change. Any change in site or design at design verification stage will be assessed afresh for land acquisition, involuntary resettlement impacts. The following information will be included in the updated social safeguards document:
 - (i) During design verification, if there is any design or alignment change and any involuntary resettlement impact is identified for such change, a resettlement plan will be prepared in accordance with the project Resettlement Framework and submitted to ADB for approval prior to contract award.
 - (ii) Land ownership records and mouza maps depicting the roads, OR, self-certification by pourashava/road owning entity of ownership of road RoWs need to be included:
 - (iii) Formal and informal consultations will be carried out including, but not limited

to: FGDs, public meetings, community discussions, and in-depth and key informant interviews. An intensive information dissemination campaign will be conducted. Emphasis should be given to more consultations with female members of the community and appraise them about the proposed roads including the road linking to the cyclone shelter. The updated DDR will include details of such consultations.

Appendix 1: Involuntary of Resettlement Screening Form

Date: 26 June 2021

| A. Project Data | | | | |
|---|--|---|--------------|---|
| Country/Project No./Project | : Country: | Bangladesh | | |
| Title | ADB No.: | (No. to be design | ated) | |
| | Coastal Town | s Climate Resiliend | e Project (C | CTCRP) |
| | Subproject: | e-GP/CTCRP/202 | 21-22/LALM | I/RD/01 |
| | | | | |
| B. Involuntary Resettlement | Category | | | |
| | [X] | New [|] Re cate | egorization — Previous |
| Category [] | | | | |
| ☐ Category A | ☐ Category B | 坚 Catego | ory C | ☐ Category FI |
| C. Comments | | | | : |
| There is no involuntary resett required as it is Category "C " improvement of the following | with respect to the | e involuntary resettl | lement impa | icts for the construction/ |
| Construction of Road Sarak (Hasn Hang) (of the Road is 1.10kr | Ch 0+000 to 1+100 |)) at Ward No.01 ur | nder Lalmoh | l Islam Chowdhury non <i>Pourashava</i> . Length |
| Construction of Road by RCC Road Starting from R & H Road to Nurul Islam Chowdhury Sarak (Hasan Hang Sarak) (Ch 0+000 to 0+478) at Ward No.02 under Lalmohon Pourashava. Length of the road is 0.478km; | | | | |
| Construction of Road by RCC start from R & H to Paura Last Point (Karim Road) at ward No. (Ch 0+000 to 1+450) under Lalmohon <i>Pourashava</i>. Length of the road is 1.45km; and | | | | |
| (Launch Ghat Sarak v starting at Ch. 0+542 | <i>r</i> ith Slum area) (Ch @ main road at wa | n 0+000 to 0+975) ard no.06 under La | & Link Road | nabi Chowdhury Sarak d (Ch. 0+000 to 0+234) urashava. Length of the drain will be constructed. |
| Refer to Involuntary Resettlen | nent Impact Catego | rization Checklist b | oelow. | |

Involuntary of Resettlement Impacts Screening

| Probable Involuntary Resettlement Effects | Yes | No | Not Known | Remarks | | |
|---|------------|---------|--------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Involuntary Acquisition of Land | | | | | | |
| 1. Will there be land acquisition? | | No | | | | |
| 2. Is the site for land acquisition known? | | | | Not applicable | | |
| 3. Is the ownership status and current usage of land to be acquired known? | | | | Not applicable | | |
| 4. Will easement be utilized within an existing Right of Way (ROW)? | | | | Not applicable | | |
| 5. Will there be loss of shelter and residential land due to land acquisition? | | | | Not applicable | | |
| 6. Will there be loss of agricultural and other productive assets due to land acquisition? | | | | Not applicable | | |
| 7. Will there be losses of crops, trees, and fixed assets due to land acquisition? | | | | Not applicable | | |
| 8. Will there be loss of businesses or enterprises due to land acquisition? | | | | Not applicable | | |
| 9. Will there be loss of income sources and means of livelihoods due to land acquisition? | | | | Not applicable | | |
| Involuntary restrictions on land use or on acc | cess to | legally | designate | ed parks and protected areas | | |
| 10. Will people lose access to natural resources, communal facilities and services? | | No | | | | |
| 11. If land use is changed, will it have an adverse impact on social and economic activities? | | No | | | | |
| 12. Will access to land and resources owned communally or by the state be restricted? | | No | | | | |
| Information on Displaced Persons: | I | 1 | | Not Applicable | | |
| Any estimate of the likely number of persons that will be displaced by the Project? [] No [] Yes If yes, approximately how many? | | | | | | |
| Are any of them poor, female-heads of households, or vulnerable to poverty risks? [] No [] Yes | | | | | | |
| Are any displaced persons from indigenous or ethnic r | minority g | roups? | | [] No [] Yes | | |

Note: The project team may attach additional information on the project, as necessary.

Appendix 2: Indigenous Peoples Impact Screening Checklist

| Appendix 2: indigenous Peoples in | ipaci c | CICCIII | ing Chec | KIISt |
|---|---------|---------|--------------|----------------|
| KEY CONCENS (Please provide elaborations on the Remarks column) | YES | NO | NOT KNOWN | Remarks |
| A. Indigenous Peoples Identification | | | | |
| Are there socio-cultural groups present in or use the project area who may be considered as "tribes" (hill tribes, schedules tribes, tribal peoples), "minorities" (ethnic or national minorities) or "indigenous communities" in the project area? | | ✓ | | |
| Are there national or local laws or policies as well as anthropological researches/studies that consider these groups present in or using the project area as belonging to "ethnic minorities", scheduled tribes, tribal peoples, national minorities, or cultural communities? | | | | Not applicable |
| Do such groups self-identify as being part of a distinct social and cultural group? | | | | Not applicable |
| 4. Do such groups maintain collective attachments to distinct habitats or ancestral territories and/or to the natural resources in these habitats and territories? | | | | Not applicable |
| 5. Do such groups maintain cultural, economic, social, and political institutions distinct from the dominant society and culture? | | | | Not applicable |
| 6. Do such groups speak a distinct language or dialect? | | | | Not applicable |
| Has such groups been historically, socially and economically marginalized, disempowered, excluded, and/or discriminated against? | | | | Not applicable |
| 8. Are such groups represented as "Indigenous Peoples" or as "ethnic minorities" or "scheduled tribes" or "tribal populations" in any formal decision-making bodies at the national or local levels? | | | | Not applicable |
| B. Identification of Potential Impacts | | | | |
| Will the project directly or indirectly benefit or target Indigenous Peoples? | | ✓ | | |
| Will the project directly or indirectly affect Indigenous Peoples' traditional socio-cultural and belief practices? (e.g. child-rearing, health, education, arts, and governance) | | ✓ | | |
| 11. Will the project affect the livelihood systems of Indigenous Peoples? (e.g., food production system, natural resource management, crafts and trade, employment status) | | ✓ | | |
| 12. Will the project be in an area (land or territory) occupied, owned, or used by Indigenous Peoples, and/or claimed as ancestral domain? | | ✓ | | |
| C. Identification of Special Requirements Will the project activities include: | | | | |
| 13. Commercial development of the cultural resources and knowledge of Indigenous Peoples? | | ✓ | | |
| 14. Physical displacement from traditional or customary lands? | | ✓ | | |
| 15. Commercial development of natural resources (such as minerals, hydrocarbons, forests, water, hunting or fishing grounds) within customary lands under use that would impact the livelihoods or the cultural, ceremonial, spiritual uses that define the identity and community of Indigenous Peoples? | | ✓ | | |
| 16. Establishing legal recognition of rights to lands and territories that are traditionally owned or customarily used, occupied or claimed by indigenous peoples? | | ✓ | | |
| 17. Acquisition of lands that are traditionally owned or customarily used, occupied or claimed by indigenous peoples? | | ✓ | | |
| | | | | |

Anticipated project impacts on Indigenous Peoples

No Indigenous People present in Project Area, therefore Not Applicable

Appendix 3: Minutes of Focus Group Discussion

FGD for: Contract Package No. e-GP/CTCRP/2021-22/LALM/RD/01

Date: 26 June 2023

Place: Lalmohon Pourashava, Bhola

Number of Participants = 36

Time: 9.30am

Conducted by: Mamun Ar Rashid

Designation: Resettlement Expert

Date: 15 February 2024

Place: Lalmohon Pourashava, Bhola

Number of Participants = 30

Time: 12.30 noon

Conducted by: Mehedi Hasan

Designation: Assistant Engineer, Lalmohon Pourashava

Discussion Made on FGD: CTCRP Social Safeguard Expert conducted a FGD session on 26 June 2021. Participants including the surveyor of Lalmohon *Pourashava*, respective Ward Councillor, local elites, people of various occupations from different locations of the proposed project area were attended in the FGD session and express views, concerns and suggestions on the proposed road to be constructed.

The Social Safeguard Expert of CTCRP discussed on the following issues during the FGDs session-

A. Social Safeguard Issues:

- 1. Position of land and trees and its ownership
- 2. Construction of road and road side drainage system, cyclone shelter, water supply, sanitation, and other municipal infrastructures includes: access roads, bridges, solid waste management plant, bus terminal, slum improvements, boat landing/ ferry ghats, markets/growth centres, etc.;
- 3. Community support for constructing these schemes;
- 4. Benefits for construction of the proposed roads, health hazard of the community peoples and workers during construction period; and
- 5. Disseminate information about proposed project implementation.

B. Emergency and Gender Development Issues:

- 1. Advise to the Contractors to engage women workers with same wage during the construction period and the contractor will deliver the safeguard equipment such as gloves, apron, sanitizer and ensure safe drinking water, workers' shed equal and separate facility for men and women at project site:
- Orientation/training session to be conducted for women groups/ workers/ women headed households to understand of women rights, interrelationship between environment, sanitation, solid waste management, health and safety and hygiene (facility) and its use;
- 3. Advance Emergency Warning System
- 4. Community Mobilization Facilitator must monitor contractor's assignments for gender development issues. Proper documentation as per LGED reporting format; and
- 5. CMF must arrange gender awareness raising meetings with the TLCCs members at project /Ward level (a minimum 2 such meetings in a week)- as per LGED guideline/manual.

A total number of 36 local participants from different groups and locations attended the FGD session. During the question-and-answer session, the local stakeholders expected that the implementation works will start as soon as possible, and nobody will be adversely affected because of the project implementation.

Recommendation and suggestions of local stakeholders are as follows: Road Schemes:

- The local stakeholders will be happy if the proposed road communication is improved;
- The local stakeholders will provide undertaken if required;
- The local stakeholders expressed their excitement if the road infrastructure will be improved in the near future by the *Pourashava*;
- The local stakeholders requested to engage local unskilled labours during the construction period; and
- The local stakeholders advised to complete the construction works during the dry season.

The photo on FGD and attendance sheets are attached separately.

2.14 Photographs of FGD Session:



Attached Attendance Sheet of FGD

Coastal Towns Climate Resilience Project (CTCRP) **Focus Group Discussion** me of the Pourashava: Lalmohon Package Name: e-GP/CTCRP/LALM/RD-01 acelVenue: 213 71/20 DISD Date: List of Participants No. Name Designation/ Contact No. Signature Occupation हिमार क्याया कार्य まるまくんまり 61715649248 1271125° 00000 0500580 02 DOD A BUN 日本計 01830614101 03 (D): 2000 a-ELECTION TO 01789189562 04 (D) # (D) #15 142120174700 01855967441 05 (M) 04/1990 2430/2280 01865811531 WITTER 06 01712393823 SUER BAICE 07 01865411650 80 0131057.2629 0183961419 10 01733684352 01701112446 12 0173480238 1001120 23112410 13 01843230221 14 15 व्यादा क्री ए जाकाम् १३ 01730-550319 CHURTORD

Coastal Towns Climate Resilience Project (CTCRP) Focus Group Discussion

| . No. | Name | Designation/ Occupation | Contact No. | Signature |
|-------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| 01 | क्वायुन्नेक्रम्भ ज्यान | क्ल्याक्रा | 01712106450 | Tomal |
| 02 | श्रीः आख | শেষণবদার | 017489175 | Sayon |
| 03 | (काः जामाम | (Cale Co | 01715222159 | -estana- |
| 04 | CD15 (238 | 323xisty | 01717608006 | निस्य |
| 05 | त्याः न्युद्धि | गुरुप्राची | 0197889369 | <u>क्रम</u> न |
| 06 | _{खाः} प्राक्तित | দোৱাৰদান | 01839-6141 | sakib |
| 07 | M: क़ाकित | <u>(पानावपाय</u> | 01991509781 | न्मक्र |
| 08 | द्याः शास्त्रिय | শেকাৰ দায় | 01860759464 | Hasib |
| 09 | (মা: অপপ্রমা গ্রহণ | शिक्लिट | 01793165760 | ক্ৰি কল বক |
| 10 | (मारे (धरिनक | (श्यिकान्य | 01787195612 | -(wfa-1 |
| 11 | (का इस्तिक्य | Fre lovis | 01930838313 | (24,57,53) |
| 12 | (हा: फिन क्रेशकार) | भुवद्रमधी | 01727947859 | ભા: દીને જીનાર |
| 13 | (छ।: डपक्उ | ब्युक्तर्गरी | 01827729058 | 1-18-14 |
| 4 | (A) Sallis | esarizs | 11920519053 | (पारिवास) |
| 5 | (मा: माक्त oval) | গৰুবী | 01925-14667 | majed |

SL-NO-03104

Second Coastal Towns Environmental Infrastructure Improvement Project (SCTEIIP-II) Local Government Engineering Department (LGED)

Name of Pourashava: Lalmeher Date:

| W | ord | No: |
|----|-----|-----|
| Ti | me: | |

| SL.NO | Name | Mobile No | Signature |
|-------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 1. | (HI: setting of by my | 01307661723 | CH. Metabaranan |
| 2. | Our enter 223 mans | 01715176328 | Non A.C |
| 3. | en and | 01413630063 | auesu |
| 4. | CAI: forton | 01715251390 | (gu) Jaysar . |
| 5. | M: Tylog | 04 01310801041 | ह्माः आक्रार् |
| 6. | त्मा; च्याद्माश्चर हैयां | 01743650946 | GABIOTIS 25 |
| 7. | ज्यान कारिया हिल्ला | | - Caria 27 18 272 |
| 8. | strengti arras | 0/739419842 | Mall |
| 9. | (म: श्रिकार्यः व्यापा | 01729612724 | [D]: FLENNESSENT |
| 10. | | | |
| 11. | | | |
| 12. | | | |
| 13. | | | |
| 14. | | | |
| 15. | | | |
| 16. | | | |
| 17. | | | |
| 18. | | | |
| 19. | | | |
| 20. | | | |
| 21. | | | |
| 22. | | | |

SL-NO-13

Second Coastal Towns Environmental Infrastructure Improvement Project (SCTEIIP-II) Local Government Engineering Department (LGED)

Name of Pourashava: Lalmohon
Date:

Word No: Time:

| SL.NO | | | |
|-------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | Name | Mobile No | Signature |
| 1. | Low : Also am (Sular so 600 | 01710744780 | Amsain |
| 2. | ander Zaisen Sitem Zaise | 01715-259068 | FOLL |
| 3. | mi monto- | . 11.5 | (M: 8127270- |
| 4. | सा: रायम | 01/38443100 | |
| 5. | Gu: OWAN O GENZM | 01625890744 | (भा: त्राप्रल |
| 6. | | 01751839455 | Afor |
| 7. | Co: La orman | 01758754684 | My |
| 8. | सर्गिता आवात | 01739477738 | द्विपेता जाकाप |
| 9. | कांत्र । | 01768666497 | जाम श् |
| | क्षिताण जाशन | 01763434068 | त्रुअवाण जाशन |
| 10. | নুমরাত জাহান নুহা | 01770520544 | नुष्प्राण काशन नुशा |
| 11. | (सा: क्यार्य अउत्पापार्व | 01723-194537 | Ande |
| 12. | W.71-6-620 | 01727925036 | Im |
| 13. | माः सामार राउपाप्त | 61716-242744 | 2211 |
| 14. | 6461721Z | | LA BIDBA |
| 15. | | | Sic. VI II |
| 16. | | | |
| | Maria Landa | | |
| 17. | | | |
| 18. | | | |
| 19. | | | 41 |
| 20. | | | |
| 21. | | | |
| 22. | | | |
| 22. | | | |

Second Coastal Towns Environmental Infrastructure Improvement Project (SCTEIIP-II) Local Government Engineering Department (LGED)

| Nam | e of | Pour | asha | va: |
|------|------|------|------|-----|
| Data | | | | |

Labrahor

Word No: Time:

| SL.NO | Name | Mobile No | Signature |
|-------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| 1. | Surgant 40/80 | 0292209200 | Sory Day |
| 2. | BW (N. 3255 22 22 22000) | 018205020,85 | 3722M |
| 3. | (M: EMG) | 01731532022 | B |
| 4. | दिमाः नाम्कः त्यादान | 01718150587 | - EULA". |
| 5. | -आर्थन क्षेत्रकार हिल्ला | 01746833481 | -6826 |
| 6. | ्याः अभिन्ता | 01308303729 | |
| 7. | (知: まいてユンスからもかがら | 01913673520 | ं अधार्य के निकार का |
| 8. | डा: मः सिपमां पान | 01721783749 | . from. |
| 9. | (मः विभान प्यान | 01315612796 | \$ |
| 10. | (m: od ficana | 01>36984336 | AMMAAZHIN |
| 11. | लाः त्यान्य द्यायात्र | 01712732296 | earling . |
| 12. | (AT: (AV(2)) | 01930400200 | स्वाराज' |
| 13. | ON-FORTH | 01768665679 | Brizan |
| 14. | | | |
| 15. | | | |
| 16. | | | |
| 17. | | | |
| 18. | | | |
| 19. | | | |
| 20. | | | |
| 21. | | | |
| 22. | | | |
| | | | |

Appendix 4: Sample Grievance Registration Format

| The | | | Project | welcomes | com | plaints, |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------|----------|
| suggestions, qu | ueries and comm | ents regarding | project implem | entation. W | le enc | ourage |
| persons with grievance to provide their name and contact information to enable us to get in | | | | | | |
| | for clarification and | | | | | |
| details but want | t that information t | to remain confide | ential, please ir | nform us by | writing | /typing |
| *(CONFIDENTIAL | AL)* above your na | me. Thank you. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Date | | Place of regist | ration | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Contact Informa | tion/Personal Det | ails | | | | |
| Name | | | Gender | * Male | Age | |
| | | | - | * Female | gc | |
| Home Address | | | | - Ciriaio | | |
| Place | | | | | | |
| Phone no. | | | | | | |
| E-mail | | | | | | |
| Complaint/Sugg | estion/Comment/ | Question Please | provide the de | tails (who, w | hat, wh | nere and |
| how) of your grie | | | | (| , | |
| ,,, g | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| If included as atta | achment/note/letter | , please tick here |): | | | |
| | nt us to reach you | | | ur commen | t/grieva | ance? |
| | • | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| FOR OFFICIAL | | | | | | |
| Registered by: (| Name of Official re | gistering grievan | ce) | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Mode of commu | ınication: | | | | | |
| Note/Letter | | | | | | |
| E-mail | | | | | | |
| Verbal/Telephoni | | | | | | |
| Reviewed by: (N | lames/Positions of | Official(s) review | ing grievance) | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Action Taken: | | | | | | |
| Action Taken: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Whather Action | Taken Disclosed: | , 1 | Yes | | | |
| Wiletiei Action | Taken Disciosed. | ' | No | | | |
| Means of Disclo | euro: | | 140 | | | |
| | ed to Project Con | anonont / Model | ition of Einana | ina | | |
| Grievance Relat | eu to Project Con | iponent / wodai | ides of Fillanc | ilig: | | |