

## Information Related to Contract Award

Package/Lot No.	Name of bidder who submitted bid	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price if applicable	Rejected bid if applicable with reasons	Winning bidding price if applicable
1	2	3	4	5	6
UGIIP-II/LGED/ICB/G-45 (Procurement of 3 Nos. Hydraulic Beam Lifter	<u>Bidder-1:</u> Dasan Heavy Industries Co. Ltd	US\$ 199,500 + BDT 800,000	BDT 18,183,656.25		N/A
	<u>Bidder-2</u> Technic Fabrications Pvt. Ltd., India	US\$ 195,618.00	N/A	<p>1. The bidder has not offered any rate against the item 'foreign training' in the price schedule. He has omitted the item which is mandatory for this supply and is considered as commercially non-responsive.</p> <p>2. In the brochure, nothing is mentioned about detachable bucket type beam lifter; rather it can be treated as a heavy duty crane.</p> <p>3. Regarding operator's cage/bucket, bucket materials, floor type and bucket insulation, nothing is found in the brochure/catalogue.</p>	N/A
	<u>Bidder-3:</u> Maniar and Co.	US\$ 128,473.00 + BDT 359,000.00 + USD 6,000.00	N/A	<p>1. Offered equipment model is HAP-08, but in the catalogue/brochure attached with the bid, no such model has been found to compare with his technical offer data sheet.</p> <p>2. The bidder has submitted the offer for overall length of truck as 5500 mm with a statement "the length can be increased or decreased for a limited extent without affecting the operational capacity". But for the offered truck model the actual overall length is 4981 mm as per attached brochure. this is 519mm less than minimum requirement. The statement regarding increase/decrease of overall length is not supported by the attached brochure. Moreover, it is clearly mentioned in the brochure as caution "Do not weld or drill on chassis frame ....." any modification to the original truck chassis to increase the overall length is not acceptable.</p> <p>3. Fuel tank capacity in the same brochure has been mentioned 60 L instead of 70L as per specification. However, they offered as 70L by special arrangement without any clarification and procedure which could be considered as non compliance with specification.</p> <p>4. No part number is mentioned in the offered spare parts price list. As a result of that exactly the same part may not be identified.</p>	N/A

Package/Lot No.	Name of bidder who submitted bid	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price if applicable	Rejected bid if applicable with reasons	Winning bidding price if applicable
1	2	3	4	5	6
				5. No data regarding displacement volume, cylinder etc. is found in the brochure.	
	<b>Bidder-4:</b> Confidence Limited Bangladesh	Hydraulic Beam Lifter and Spare Parts BDT 157,15,325.00 Transport and Local Training BDT 335,000.00	BDT 1,58,50,325.00		BDT 17,700,000.00

### Information Related to Contract Award

Package/Lot No.	Name of bidder who submitted bid	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price if applicable	Rejected bid if applicable with reasons	Winning bidder's price if applicable
1	2	3	4	5	6
UGIIP-II/LGED/ICB/G-44 (Procurement of 7 Nos. Hydraulic Beam Lifter	<u>Bidder-1:</u> Maniar and Co. India	US\$ 281,040.00 Local Transportation BDT 350,000.00 + Training BDT 0.20 million.	N/A	<p>1. The Bidder Offered equipment model is HAP-08, but in the catalogue/brochure attached with the bid, no such model has been found to compare with his technical offer data sheet. In the technical offer, bidder made several hand written corrections without any signature.</p> <p>2. In the technical offer, the bidder has mentioned overall length of the truck is 6100 mm. However, in the brochure attached with the bid, the truck model no. TATA 407 SK BS III has an overall length of 4981 mm instead of minimum 5500 mm required which is 519 mm or 21 inch less than the required minimum length. This is a major deviation.</p> <p>3. Fuel tank capacity in the same brochure has been mentioned 60 L instead of 70 L as per specification. However, they offered as 70 L by special arrangement without any clarification and procedure which could be considered as non compliance with specification.</p> <p>4. In item 3.1 of technical specification, the bidder omitted the term PTO from the column of specification requirement and then they offered as 'complied' which means that the offered model does not have PTO system.</p> <p>5. Regarding remote control operation, bidder offered as 'complied' (hand written without Signature) but not mentioned about the range of the remote control against our requirement of minimum 80 ft in all directions. In catalogue/brochure attached with the bid, there is no presentation and description about remote control.</p> <p>6. No part number is mentioned in the offered spare parts price list.</p>	N/A
	<u>Bidder-2</u> Ashok Leyland, India	US\$ 656,564.00 + BDT 2.00 Lac	N/A	<p>1. Remote control system does not comply with the requirement. Bidder offered remote control mounted in the cage which does not comply with the requirement of operation from any location within minimum 80ft in all directions.</p> <p>2. No part number is mentioned in the offered spare parts price list.</p> <p>3. A lot of misspelling and faulty technical terms and are found in the</p>	N/A

Package/Lot No.	Name of bidder who submitted bid	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price if applicable	Rejected bid if applicable with reasons	Winning bidder's price if applicable
1	2	3	4	5	6
				submitted catalogue which indicates that the brochure submitted is not an original one rather it is fabricated locally to fit the tender requirement. Moreover, the original bidder is Ashok Leyland but in the submitted catalogue, the specification sheet is prepared by IFAD Autos Limited as a local Agent. Hence the offered technical specification cannot be treated as authentic as original catalogue.	
	<u>Bidder-3:</u> Dasan Heavy Industries Co. Ltd, Korea	US\$ 465,500.00 + BDT 16,00,000.00	BDT 35,902,860.00	N/A	N/A
	<u>Bidder-4:</u> Confidence Limited Bangladesh	Hydraulic Beam Lifter and Spare Parts BDT 379,82,000.00 Transport and Local Training BDT 5,15,000.00	BDT 34,688,710.00	N/A	BDT 38,497,000.00
	<u>Bidder-5:</u> Technic Fabrications Pvt. Ltd, India	Hydraulic Beam Lifter and Spare Parts US\$ 447,097.00 Transport and Local Training Free of cost	N/A	<p>1. Against most of the items in the technical offer, bidder mentioned 'as per the requirements of the technical specifications'. But due to unavailability of mentioned brochure, the offered data cannot be verified.</p> <p>2. Regarding truck brand, model &amp; origin, they have offered EICHER 10.59 model which is not found in the submitted brochure/catalogue and hence cannot be verified. Instead, they have submitted brochure of truck model Eicher 10.75 E2 plus series (not specifying which one) and wheel base, overall length and overall height are not in conformity with specification.</p> <p>2. Specific model of the offered beam litter is not mentioned in the technical offer. Bidder attached a brochure of HYVA crane (model HB80) with various model options where no indication is found regarding the offered type.</p> <p>3. In the brochure, nothing is mentioned about detachable bucket type beam litter; rather it can be treated as a heavy duty crane.</p> <p>4. Regarding operator's cage/bucket, bucket materials, floor type and bucket insulation, nothing is found in the brochure/catalogue.</p> <p>5. The drawing attached with the bid also does not demonstrate</p>	N/A

Package/Lot No.	Name of bidder who submitted bid	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price if applicable	Rejected bid if applicable with reasons	Winning bidder's price if applicable
1	2	3	4	5	6
				assembling option of two major parts. PTO system and functioning of specified remote control are not also shown in the catalogue/brochure as per specification.	

### Information Related to Contract Award

Package/Lot No.	Name of the bidder	Bid price as read out	Evaluated price	Rejected bid (if applicable) with reasons	Winning bidder's price
1	2	3	4	5	6
UGHP-II/LGED/CB/G-37 (Procurement of 23 Nos. Static Road Roller (8 ton))	Jiangsu Junma Road Rollers Co. Ltd.	US\$ 706,951.00 and BDT 2,00,000.00	N/A	General requirement is steel wheeled tandem hydrostatic drive type static road roller. In the catalogue submitted by the bidder, the offered model 2YJ8/10HC is mentioned as Vibratory Road Roller (page-1 of the catalogue). The model is again described as a static road roller as mentioned in page 3 (main features). In page 3 of the catalogue, it is mentioned that the offered model has stepless speed system which does not comply with required hydrostatic transmission system. The picture of the offered model does not demonstrate hydrostatic drive system. Hydrostatic motors are not seen there on the sides of drums. Hence the Tender Evaluation Committee considering the stated facts of static & vibratory mechanism considered the offer of Jiangsu Junma Road Rollers Co. Ltd. as technically non-responsive.	N/A
	YTO International Ltd	US\$ 1,020,096.00	BDT 75,206,677.60	N/A	US\$ 1,020,096.00
	Powerplus Group Pte. Ltd.	US\$ 817,650.00 and BDT 48,00,000.00	N/A	The bidder has submitted technical offer as per requirements. However, the model of the offered Road Roller has not been mentioned in the hydrostatic circuit diagram submitted with the bid and the picture of the offered model as it appears in the submitted catalogue does not show hydrostatic drive motors fitted on the sides of drums. (i) Non-visibility of hydrostatic drive motors fitted on the sides of drums in the picture of the submitted catalogue for the offered model CT 418. As there is no other option of displaying hydrostatic drive system, it can be considered that the model shown in catalogue does not have specified hydrostatic system. (ii) Not specifying the model identification on the submitted hydrostatic circuit diagram sheet; thus creating uncertainty of the proposed model to meet technical requirements.	N/A
	BOMAG GMBH	US\$ 1,748,435.29	N/A	Bomag offered model BW161ADH-4 which is a tandem vibratory road roller of operating weight 10600kg against required static road roller of 8-10 ton capacity. The offer is not supported by original catalogue. The technical data sheet is not original. Manufacturer's authorization letter is found tempered (hand written after application of fluid without signature). They also have not submitted hydrostatic circuit diagram.	N/A
	Xuzhou Construction Machinery Group Imp. & Exp. Co. Ltd.	US\$ 612,145.00 + US\$ 3281.70 + BDT 12,00,000.00	N/A	Offered engine power as 36 kw against specified requirement of 55 kw (min) which is a major deviation. The bidder has not also submitted hydrostatic circuit diagram. The bidder has offered mechanical drive system against specified requirement of hydrostatic drive system as found in the submitted brochure. Somewhere in the offered specification, they have written 'noted and complied' instead of specifying actual data which is not acceptable. They have submitted certificates having deficiency of documentary evidence in respect of experience criteria under Evaluation and Qualification Criteria (Section-III)	N/A

### Information Related to Contract Award

Package/ Lot No.	Name of bidders who submitted bids	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price (if applicable)	Rejected bid (if applicable) with reasons	Winning Bidder's price (if applicable )
1	2	3	4	5	6
UGHIP-II/LGED/ICB/G-38 (Procurement of 36 Nos. Garbage Dump Truck (3 ton))	<u>Bidder-1:</u> Kokusai Links Co. Ltd. Japan	Y 141,566,400.00	BDT 123,585,561.00	N/A	N/A
	<u>Bidder-2:</u> WEIFANG XIAER CO. LTD., China	US\$ 908,743.68	N/A	The Bidder did not submit requisite catalogue/brochure containing technical data to justify the genuineness of the product and hence considered the offer as technically non compliance. The bidder did not submit financial position of the bidder i.e balance sheet, income statement for the last three (3) years, did not submit cash flow capacity i.e liquid assets. The bidder also did not submit written authorization of signing of bid ie. Power of attorney.	N/A
	<u>Bidder-3:</u> Maniar & Co. India	US\$ 1147397.00 Training BDT 200,000.00	N/A	The Bidder offered Model: SWARJ MAZDA and HD03; Brand: MANIAR and SWRAJ MAZDA. No document found having any linkage between the two different model & brand and they have problems in after sales services. The bid is not supported by documentation and hence the offer is considered as technically non-compliance.  The bidder did not submit written authorization of signing of bid ie. Power of attorney.	N/A
	<u>Bidder-4:</u> Ashok Leyland., India	US\$ 14,21,952.00	N/A	The Bidder offered payload capacity of 8050kg and turning radius of 8m instead of maximum upper limit of 4500kg & 6.5m respectively. The offered truck, being heavier in size, would be problematic in the use of Pourashavas' works.	N/A
	<u>Bidder-5:</u> ITOCHU Corp. Japan	Y 170,271,200.00	BDT 147,359,599.20	N/A	N/A
	<u>Bidder-6:</u> PowerPlus Group Pte Ltd., Singapore	US\$ 1,261,620.00 + BDT 38,00,000.00	BDT 89,764,263.00	N/A	Bid price US\$ 1,264,431.00

- N/A: Not Applicable

### Information Related to Contract Award

Package/Lot No.	Name of bidders who submitted bids	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price (if applicable)	Rejected bid (if applicable) with reasons	Winning bidding price (if applicable)
1	2	3	4	5	6
UGIIP-II/LGED/ICB/G-42 (Procurement of 12 Nos. Static Road Roller (8 ton))	<u>Bidder-1:</u> Jiangsu Junma Road Roller Co. Ltd.	US\$ 480,240.00	BDT 35,083,795.20	N/A	N/A
	<u>Bidder-2:</u> Powerplus Group Pte Ltd.	US\$ 445,800.00	BDT 34,111,584.00	N/A	US\$ 478,290.07
	<u>Bidder-3:</u> BOMAG GMBH	US\$ 989,157.29	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bid Submission Sheet and Manufacturer's Authorization appears to have been incomplete and not followed specified form/format given with the bidding documents.</li> <li>• BOMAG GMBH offered model BW161ADH-4 which is a tandem vibratory road roller of operating weight 10600 kg as against required static road roller of 8 ton capacity.</li> <li>• The operating mechanism of a vibratory road roller is different from a static road roller and in this consideration the offer is considered carrying major deviation but the vibratory road roller is superior to static road roller.</li> <li>• Accessories like engine coolant gauge/engine temperature meter/Hyd. oil gauge/Ampere meter are not available as mentioned in the technical offer provided by them.</li> <li>• In consideration of the above fact of static &amp; vibratory mechanism and non availability of safety gauges, this offer is considered as technically non- responsive. So, bidder is not substantially qualified and non-responsive to technical requirement.</li> </ul>	N/A
	<u>Bidder-4:</u> YTO International Ltd	US\$ 329,316.00	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In technical offer model mentioned as 2YJ 9×10 which is not found in the brochure attached.</li> <li>• In price schedule they have offered model 2YJ 8×10 which is contradictory and inconsistent.</li> <li>• Offered overall width 1710 mm against max of 1600 mm.</li> <li>• Offered 3 speeds mechanical transmission mechanism instead of hydrostatic power transmission system which is considered as a major deviation from technical requirement.</li> </ul>	N/A



### Information Related to Contract Award

Package/Lot No.	Name of bidders who submitted bids	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price (if applicable)	Rejected bid (if applicable) with reasons	Winning Bidder's price (if applicable)
1	2	3	4	5	6
UGIIP-II/LGED/ICB/G-43 (Procurement of 22 Nos. Garbage Dump Truck (3 ton))	Ashok Leyland	US\$ 876,029.00	N/A	a) Offered 6-cylinder engine instead of 4 cylinder engine as specified in specification. b) 24 V batteries instead of 12 V batteries. c) Carrying capacity offered 8050 kg instead of Max 4500 kg. d) The overall length offered 8000mm against specified range of 5200-5800 mm. e) Turning radius offered 8m instead of maximum 6.5m.	N/A
	Bidder-2: Maniar & Co. India	US\$ 750,973.00	N/A	(i) Offered model is HD-03, but no brochure or any type of catalogue submitted supporting the model. (ii) For operation of Dump body inclination, the required specification is Power Take Off (PTO) system but the bidder offered 12 V DC battery operated power jack which is fully non compliant and major technical deviations as far as required specification is concerned. (iii) The bidder specified that they will use Swaraj Mazda truck (Presently no existence of Swaraj Mazda, now it is named as SML-ISUZU) but the bidder did not submit any document/MOU stating any agreement or contract between MANIAR & SML-ISUZU, the manufacturer of the main part (engine, chassis, cabin etc.) of the offered dump truck. (iv) MANIAR is not a manufacturer at all as they manufacture only the dump body. Even if they are considered as a supplier, then also they do not have assurance and guarantee from the main truck manufacturer.	N/A
	Bidder-3: PowerPlus Group Pte Ltd. (	US\$ 777,590.00	BDT 58,559,723.2	N/A	BDT 59,144,603.20

- N/A Not applicable

### Information related to contract award

Package/Lot No.	Name of bidders who submitted bids	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price (if applicable)	Rejected bid (if applicable) with reasons	Winning bidding price (if applicable)
UGIIP-II/LGED/ICB/G-48 (Procurement of 16 Nos. Garbage Dump Truck (1.5 Ton))	Bidder-1: Energypac Power Generation Ltd. and Anhui Automobile Co. Ltd. (JV)	US\$ 322,407.00 and BDT 600,000.00	N/A	i) Did not quote engine code and quoted that "provide later". li) Against the requirement of overall width 1800 mm (min) they offered overall width 1700 mm. iii) Against Item No. 13 (Accessories), they did not offer itemway and not supported by brochure.	N/A
	Bidder-2: Shangdong KAMA Automobile Manufacturing Co. Ltd. (Local Agent: M/s. Sohel Enterprise)	US\$ 337,760.00 and BDT 200,000.00	BDT 26,460,840.00	N/A	N/A
	Bidder-3: Rubel Brothers	US\$ 269,140.00	N/A	i) The bidder did not submit documents related to financial capacity of the bidder i.e, balance sheet, income statement for the last three years, cash flow capacityi.e, liquid assets, lines of credit. The bidder did not submit production capacity and supply capacity. ii) Against the Item No. 8 of technical specification, Cabin: Built-in air conditioning, they offered No AC system. iii) Item No. 12 Propable Dimension; Wheel base they offered 2775 mm instead of required 2800 mm (min) iv) Item No. 13 The internal height-they offered 475 mm instead of 500 mm (min) v) Thickness of deck-floor-they offered 4 mm (floor) instead of required 3 mm MS+ 2 mm SS. They did not offer SS lining. vi) Thickness of wall-they offered 3 mm (sideboard) instead of 2 mm MS+ 2 mm SS (min). They did not offer SS lining.	N/A
	Bidder-4: Hubei Haotian Special Automobile Co., Ltd. (Local Agent: Omni Power Limited)	US\$ 457,072.00	N/A	i) The bidder did not submit documents related to financial capacity of the bidder i.e, balance sheet, income statement for the last three years, cash flow capacityi.e, liquid assets, lines of credit.	N/A

Package/Lot No.	Name of bidders who submitted bids	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price (if applicable)	Rejected bid (if applicable) with reasons	Winning bidding price (if applicable)
	Bidder-5: Ashok Leyland (Local agent: HU International Ltd.)	US\$ 488,640.00 and BDT 300,000.00	N/A	i) The bidder did not submit documents related to financial capacity of the bidder i.e, balance sheet, income statement for the last three years, cash flow capacity i.e, liquid assets, lines of credit.	N/A
	Bidder-6: Hubei Chenglongwei Special Purpose Vehicle Co., Ltd (Local Agent: M/s. Sarker Kabir Ahamed)	US\$ 420,960.00	N/A	i) The bidder submitted 1 (one) year's documents related to financial capacity of the bidder i.e, balance sheet, income statement instead of required three (3) years' documents related to financial capacity.	N/A
	Bidder-6: Hubei Jiangnan Special Automobile Co., Ltd (Local Agent: S.R. Trading)	US\$ 265,792.00+ US\$ 4080 + BDT 150,000.00+ BDT 300,000.00	BDT 21,332,548.00	N/A	BDT 21,332,548.00

### Information related to contract award

Package/Lot No.	Name of bidders who submitted bids	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price (if applicable)	Rejected bid (if applicable) with reasons	Winning bidding price (if applicable)
UGIIP-II/LGED/ICB/G-54 (Procurement of 26 Nos. Hydraulic Beam Lifter	Bidder-1: Dasan Heavy Industries Co. Ltd. (Local Agent: M/S. Sohel Enterprise	US\$ 1,014,000.00	BDT 78,838,500.00	N/A	US\$ 1,014,000.00
	Bidder-2: Hubei Jiangnan Special Automobile Co., Ltd. (Local Agent: SR Trading)	US\$ 1,003,158.00 & BDT 200,000.00	N/A	i) In Technical Specification offered overall length 6460 mm instead of required maximum of 5600 mm. ii) Overall Height is 2990 mm instead of required maximum of 2600 mm. iii) Folding type of boom is not telescopic. This offer was considered non-responsive as size and dimension of the truck is important with respect to operation of the same in smaller pourashava road.	N/A

	Bidder-3: Unit Export Limited (Local Agent: Ramna Engineering Works)	US\$ 962,994.24	N/A	i) Did not submit documents related to technical experience and production capacity. ii) Engine displacement volume mentioned as 3707 cc against required maximum 3000 cc. iii) Original Catalogue for the specific model not submitted. iv) Engine performance curve not submitted and specific model not mentioned. v) Overall length of truck is 7400 mm against required maximum 5600 mm. vi) Overall height is 3110 mm against required maximum 2600 mm. vii) Wheel base of truck is 3300 mm against required maximum 3000 mm. viii) Nothing mentioned about assuring minimum 5 years after sales service facility in the purchaser's country. ix) Folding type of boom is not telescopic.	N/A
	Bidder-4:Hubei Heli Special Automobile Manufacture Co., Ltd. (Local Agent: Confidence Limited)	US\$ 1,289,600.00	BDT 100,147,002.44	N/A	N/A

	Bidder-5: Maniar & Co. (Local Agent: M/s. Sarker Kabir Ahamed)	US\$ 985,660.00	N/A	<p>i) Catalogue submitted where a few specific dimension/description has been mentioned. This seems to be color printed/photocopied. This is quite different than original catalogue of Maniar attached with the bid.</p> <p>ii) Mentioned model of the equipment is not found in company website and in the submitted original catalogue.</p> <p>iii) TATA-407 mentioned as the model of truck. But TATA 407 is a series; exact model of this series has not been mentioned. As a result of that it is very difficult to compare various dimensions with dimensions mentioned in the bid. A photocopy of testing laboratory result has been given with which similar comparison does not match in relation to bid data sheet.</p> <p>iv) Engine performance curve given but same as bidder-6 though engine manufacturer is different.</p> <p>v) Engine torque is mentioned as 300 Nm@1400-1500 rpm; which does not correspond to supplied performance curve.</p> <p>vi) Availability of remote control has not been clearly mentioned (keeping confusion like, Manual/Remote)</p> <p>vii) Schematic diagram is incomplete and important dimensions are missing; cage is seen. If photocopy data sheet is used to compare in a</p> <p>viii) Overall length is mentioned as 5000 mm; but</p>	N/A
--	--	-----------------	-----	---	-----

	Bidder-6: Hubei Chenglongwei Special Purpose Vehicle Co., Ltd. (Local Agent: M/s. Rado Construction)	US\$ 868,840.00	N/A	<p>i) The bidder has not furnished with original catalogue from manufacturer of the truck and lifting unit. Two printing pages do not mention models and other technical data and dimension to check with corresponding information of bid data sheet. One printed page reflects partial technical data of JAC truck but of a different model other than the model mentioned in the bid data sheet. Therefore, dimension and technical data from website of JAC has been checked and compared for the model mentioned in the bid data sheet.</p> <p>ii) Truck pay load capacity mentioned as 2 Metric Ton but from Truck Catalogue of the offered model of JAC (from website) it is found 1650kg.</p> <p>iii) engine performance curve given but same as bidder 5 though engine manufacturer is different.</p> <p>iv) Engine torque is mentioned as 216Nm@1800-2200 rpm; but from website of JAC model of Truck Catalogue it is found 174Nm@2100-2300 rpm;</p> <p>v) Ground clearance has been mentioned as 185 mm; but from website catalogue of JAC the respective model found having 170 mm ground clearance.</p>	N/A
--	--	-----------------	-----	--	-----

	Bidder-7: Rancon Automobiles Limited	US\$ 1,431,612.00	N/A	<p>i) Specific brand and equipment model not mentioned; Original Catalogue for the specific model not submitted;</p> <p>ii) engine performance curve not given; Wheel base offered 2490 mm against 2500 mm minimum;</p> <p>iii) Overall length offered 4860 mm against 5000 mm minimum; Overall width offered 1690 mm against 1900 mm minimum; Fuel tank offered having capacity 60 L against 75 L;</p> <p>iv) Rear deck height mentioned as 1 m against 500 mm maximum.</p>	N/A
--	--------------------------------------	-------------------	-----	--	-----



### Information related to contract award

Package/Lot No.	Name of bidders who submitted bids	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price (if applicable)	Rejected bid (if applicable) with reasons	Winning bidding price (if applicable)
UGIIP-II/LGED/ICB/G-47 (Procurement of 13 Nos. Double Cabin Pickup	Bidder-1: Hebei Zhongxing Automobile Co. Ltd. (ZXAUTO Co., Ltd. (Local Agent: Motodrive Limited)	US\$ 213,637.06 & BDT 321,600.00	N/A	i) Against the requirement of overall height 1800 mm (min) they offered overall height 1775 mm; ii) Against Sl. No. 07 (Transmission), it was required that the 4WD system should be supported by LSP (Limited Slip Differential) but they did not offer LSD. iii) Against the requirement of turning radius 6.3 m (max) they offered turning radius 6.8 m.	N/A
	Bidder-2: Toyota Tsusho Corporation, Japan (Local Agent: Navana Limited)	US\$ 331,825.72	BDT 25,799,449.73	N/A	N/A
	Bidder-3: Kokusai Links Co., Ltd., Japan (Local Agent: Rangs Limited)	JPY 37,089,000.00 + Spare parts JPY 1,100,580.00	BDT 29,642,752.00	N/A	N/A
	Bidder-4: RMA Automotive Co., Ltd. (Local Agent: AG Automobiles Limited)	US\$ 285,550.00 & BDT 2,535,000.00	BDT 24,736,512.50	N/A	US\$ 285,550.00 & BDT 2,535,000.00

### Information related to contract award

Package/Lot No.	Name of bidders who submitted bids	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price (if applicable)	Rejected bid (if applicable) with reasons	Winning bidding price (if applicable)
UGIIP-II/LGED/ICB/G-42 (Procurement of 12 Nos. Static Road Roller (8 Ton)	Bidder-1: YTO International Ltd. (Local Agent: M/S. sarker Kabir Ahamed)	US\$ 719,800.00	BDT 57,677,574.00	N/A	N/A
	Bidder-2: Jiangsu Junma Road Roller Co. Ltd. (Local Agent: SR Trading)	US\$ 422,160.00 & BDT 792,000.00	BDT 34,619,680.80	N/A	US\$ 422,160.00 & BDT 792,000.00
	Bidder-3: BOMAG GMBH (Local Agent: Fair Deal International)	EUR 781,687.04	N/A	<p>i) Did not submit necessary documents (financial position, cash flow capacity, contractual and technical experience, production capacity etc.) in favor of qualification.</p> <p>ii) Technical Spec: Item 2: offered drum width 1680 mm against required range 1250-1400 mm.</p> <p>iii) Offered overall length 4610 mm against required maximum of 4500 mm</p> <p>iv) Offered overall width 1840 mm against maximum of 1600 mm.</p> <p>v) Technical Spec: Item 5: did not submit hydrostatic circuit diagram which is obligatory requirement.</p>	N/A

	Bidder-4:Bestmark Distribution	US\$ 581,988.00	N/A	<p>i) Did not submit contractual experience of his own as a bidder (supplier) and size of operation</p> <p>ii) Technical Spec: Item 5: did not submit hydrostatic circuit diagram which is obligatory requirement.</p> <p>iii) Spec item15: No part number is mentioned for spare parts.</p> <p>Moreover, Vibration is mentioned in the catalogue.</p>	N/A
	Bidder-5: Hybei Senyang Import & Export Co., Ltd (Local Agent: Petrola Trading Corporation)	US\$ 521,766.00	BDT 42,369,694.58	N/A	N/A

### Information related to contract award

Package/Lot No.	Name of bidders who submitted bids	Bid price as read out in the bid opening	Evaluated price (if applicable)	Rejected bid (if applicable) with reasons	Winning bidding price (if applicable)
UGIIP-II/LGED/ICB/G-46 (Procurement of 16 Nos. Vacuum Cleaner	Bidder-1: Hubei Haotian Automobile Co., Ltd (Local Agent: Omni Power Ltd.)	US\$ 522,000.00 & BDT 200,000.00	N/A	<p>i) Did not submit documents related to financial capacity of the bidder i.e, balance sheet, income statement for the last 3 years, cash flow capacity i.e, liquid assets, line of credit and contractual experience.</p> <p>li) Did not provide schematic diagram on complete hydraulic system which was an essential requirement of the specification. Without this diagram, effectiveness of the whole system will not be possible to judge and the machine could be defective in nature.</p>	N/A
	Bidder-2: Ashok Leyland (Local Agent: HU International Ltd.)	US\$ 521,280.00 & BDT 500,000.00	N/A	<p>i) Did not submit documents related to financial capacity of the bidder i.e, balance sheet, income statement for the last 3 years, cash flow capacity i.e, liquid assets, line of credit.</p> <p>li) Did not mention vacuum tank loading time by the pump.</p> <p>lii) Overall width is 2280 mm against required maximum 2250 mm;</p> <p>iv) Curb weight is 3000 kg against required 2400-2700 kg maximum.</p> <p>v) Gross vehicle weight is 10000.00 kg against required 6500.00 kg maximum.</p>	N/A

	Bidder-3: Hiep Hoa Equipment of Environmental Trading Company Limited (Local Agent: Moon Corporation)	US\$ 769,863.04 & BDT 200,000.00	N/A	<p>i) Did not mention vacuum tank loading time by the pump which may ultimately hamper efficiency of the pump.</p> <p>li) did not confirm whether the hydraulic pump shall be driven by vehicle engine through PTO.</p> <p>lii) Did not provide schematic diagram on complete hydraulic system which was an essential requirement of the specification. Without this diagram, effectiveness of the whole system will not be possible to judge and the machine could be defective in nature.</p> <p>iv) Offered overall length of the truck chassis as 5500.00 mm but in the attached catalogue it is found as 6500.00 mm-which is a major inconsistency.</p>	N/A
	Bidder-4: Hubei Changlonwei Special Purpose Vehicle Co. Ltd (Local Agent: M/S. Sarker Kabir Ahamed)	US\$ 582,160.00	BDT 45,264,395.40		N/A
	Bidder-5: Hubei senyang Import & Export Co., Ltd. (Local Agent: Petrola Trading Corporation Ltd.)	US\$ 554,087.04 & BDT 500,000.00	N/A	<p>i) Vacuum tank capacity is 3410 litre against required 2500.00 to 3000.00 litre maximum;</p> <p>ii) Sewage intake and discharge: Did not confirm whether it shall maintain 1 bar inside pressure during entire discharging which may hamper efficiency of the machine ultimately.</p>	N/A

	Bidder-6: Maniar & Co. (Local Agent: Pepco Bangladesh)	US\$ 431,788.00	N/A	i) Did not mention vacuum tank loading time by the pump which may ultimately hamper efficiency of the pump. li) Did not provide schematic diagram on complete hydraulic system which was an essential requirement of the specification.	N/A
	Bidder-7: Ecomtrade Holdings Pte Ltd. (Local Agent: M/S. Ecom Trade International)	US\$ 781,600.00	N/A		N/A
	Bidder-8: Hubei Heli Automobile Manufacture Co., Ltd. (Local Agent: Confidence Limited)	US\$ 464,413.60	N/A	i) Did not submit documents related to financial capacity of the bidder i.e, balance sheet, income statement for the last 3 years, cash flow capacity i.e, liquid assets, line of credit.	N/A
	Bidder-9: Hubei Jiangnan Special Automobile Co., Ltd (Local Agent: SR Trading)	US\$ 460,384.00 & BDT 200,000.00	BDT 35,996,006.96		US\$ 460,384.00 & BDT 200,000.00
	Bidder-10: Shandong KAMA Automobile Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Local Agent: M/S. Sohel Enterprise)	US\$ 753,760.00 & BDT 200,000.00	BDT 58,806,724.40		N/A
	Bidder-11: KAM-AVIDA Enviro Engineers Pvt. Ltd. (M/S. Techno Test)	US\$ 707,076.00	N/A		N/A