Resettlement Plan for Noor Ali-Charakgachi Canal/Khal Subproject

November-2012

BAN: Participatory Small-Scale Water Resources Sector Project (PSSWRSP)
ADB Loan No. 2542-BAN (SF)

Prepared by:



CHRISTIAN COMMISSION FOR DEVELOPMENT IN BANGLADESH

Street Address:

88, Senpara Parbatta, Mirpur-10, Dhaka-1216.

Mailing Address: G.P.O. BOX-367, DHAKA, BANGLADESH.

Phone: 9020170-3-Ext: 25,30,46 * Fax: (880-2) 9020227.

* Email: ccdbresettlement@yahoo.com, ccdb@bangla.net

for

Local Government Engineering Department (LGED)

Local Government Division

Ministry of Local Government, rural Development and Cooperatives

LGED, Bhaban, Sher-e Bangla Nagar, Agargaon, Dhaka.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

One of the components of the Participatory Small-Scale Water Resources Sector Project (PSSWRSP, or 'the Project') is to construct water management infrastructure. The main objective of the Project is to enhance agricultural production, poverty reduction and economic growth in subproject areas. The Project objectives will be achieved through the participation of stakeholders in identification, implementation, improvement and management of water management related infrastructures. The Project infrastructure is diversified, including: re-excavation and improvement of Khal (Channels, of rivulets): construction of levees on the bank of Khal; construction and supplementary irrigation in monsoon/dry season. In addition, suitable hydraulic structures will be constructed.

The Noor Ali-Charakgachia subproject is the re-excavation of 8.55 km silted canal (khal) passing through the Union, Burir Char of Sadar Upazila in the District of Barguna. As of now, the silted khal is being utilized by 112 households (list in Annex-1) of 5 villages (names are in Table-2) for minor agricultural purposes. Once the silted khal is re-excavated, the total number of beneficiary households will increase to 476 from the present 112 which strongly justifies the necessity of re-excavating the silted 8.55 km khal. Once the re-excavation is completed, the households living around would be able to irrigate around 400 hectare of land during the dry season for growing Boro paddy. Again after re-excavation, through draining out of logged flood water it will contribute to timely cultivation of transplanted Amon paddy.

The subproject will not acquire any private land, as all the land within the khal, are khas (owned by the Government) land. However, due the inability to use the khas land, about 112 households will lose their present right of using it. All of them will be compensated for this loss in cash as determined by the PVAT. No homestead or Commercial Business Enterprises (CBEs) will be affected by the subproject, so no relocation of residential structures of CBEs are required. There will not be any negative impacts on Common Property Resources (CPRs) such as mosques, schools, or Union Parishad offices. There is no Indigenous People (IP) in the area and no negative social impacts were indicated in Group Discussions or from the household survey.

In accordance with the Resettlement Framework's (RFs) Entitlement Matrix, the 112 AHHs will receive compensation at the market value of the crops as assessed by Property Valuation Assessment Team (PVAT). As most of the AHHs are landless or marginal farmers, they will also receive income-generation oriented training and employment measures under the income-generation sub-components of the subproject (para-36). Due to re-excavation of Noor Ali-Charakgachia khal 112 AHHs will lose their right to use the bed of the khal for cultivation of rice subsequently will lose part of their lively hood. The affected Households (AHHs) are not title holder of the land and their compensation will not follow CCL under land acquisition procedure. Moreover, if possible, the vulnerable households (very poor, poor, disabled and female headed households) will be given some money and grant for helping them in their endeavors to survival.

The Socio Economist of LGED and Upazila Engineer in conjunction with WMCA/Union parishad representative through INGO inform the APs of the subproject regarding the Impact, their entitlement under the RP. The non titled holder APs of this subproject will be compensated under the Resettlement framework following the entitlement matrix. As per RF the payment of full cost of compensation will be the responsibility of LGED. While

the subproject has a non-significant impact on the property of the AHHs, the APs themselves in group discussions have indicated that they will get more benefit from the subproject than losses. They will also be benefited, having this land protected from flooding and water-logging. Moreover, they would be able to retain monsoon water within the subproject area for irrigation in the winter season. Fishing, both open water as well as cultured, will also be enhanced and improve their socioeconomic condition. No works under any contract awarded under the subproject will commence until all required compensation payment have been available to those affected people. The INGO will assist LGED in the context of Information Dissemination and feedback, Assisting APs in Resettlement Process, Assisting in Grievance redress Procedure, Information Management, Reporting and RP preparation and implementation.

Some salient information of the RAP are:

- Length of the canal/khal to be re-excavated=8.55 km;
- Area to be irrigated after re-excavation=500 hectare;
- Number of households expected to be benefited=1,094;
- Number of households to be affected by re-excavation=112;
- Number of disabled affected persons=Nil;
- None of the affected persons will lose more than 10% of their annual income;
- None of the APs will become vulnerable because of the loss of khas land under their cultivation;
- Total khas land cultivated by them=8.65 acre;
- Crop compensation per decimal=Tk. 225;
- Total amount of crop compensation=Tk. 1,94,625;
- EP files, stationary and other miscellaneous costs=Tk. 20,160; and
- Total costs of RAP implementation=Tk. 2,14,785.

RESETTLEMENT PLAN FOR NOOR ALI-CHARAKGACHIA SUBPROJECT

Tal	ble of Contents:	Page No:
A.	PROJECT BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION 1. Project Background 2. Description of the Subproject 3. Upazila Map Niamatpur 4. Detailed Map of the Subproject 5. Area and Location 6. Socio-economic Survey Results of Beneficiaries	1 1 1 2 3 4 4-6
В.	 Scope of Land Acquisition and Displacement Subproject Objectives Construction Activities Scope of Land Acquisition and Resettlement Land Use and Settlement Pattern Methodology of Data Collection Socioeconomic Profile of APs 	6 6 6 6 7 7-8 8-13
C.	LAND ACQUISITION AND RESETTLEMENT POLICY FRAME WORK AND ENTITLEMENTS 1. Land Acquisition and Resettlement Principles 2. Entitlement Matrix	14 14-15 15-25
D.	 CONSULTATION AND DISCLOSURE Consultation and Stakeholder's Participation Grievance Redress Mechanism 	26 26 26-27
Ε.	COMPENSATION AND RESETTLEMENT BUDGET AND FINANCING	27
F.	RESETTLEMENT ACTION PLAN IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE 1. Implementation Schedule 2. Monitoring and Evaluation	28 28 29
G.	 IMPLEMENTATIONARRANGEMENTS Ministry of Local Government, Regional Development Cooperatives (MOLGRDC) Water Management Cooperative Association(WMCA) Resettlement Processing Committees/Teams 	30 and 30 30 30-31

H. ANNE	XURE:	Page No:
Annex	1: Lst of APs of Noor Ali-Charakgachia 8.55 km	
	Silted Canal/Khal re-excavation Subproject (in Bangla)	32-39
Annex	2: Government Office Orders (3 in Bangla)	40-42
Annex	3: Property Valuation Advisory Committee (in Bangla)	43
Annex	4: Property Valuation Report (According to Upazila Agriculture Officer)	44
Annex	5: Property Valuation Report (in Bangla)	45
Annex	6: Lst of APs of Noor Ali-Charakgachia 8.55 km	
	Silted Canal/Khal re-excavation Subproject	46-50

Abbreviations

ADB Asian Development Bank

AHH Affected Household

AP Affected Person

BWDB Bangladesh Water Development Board

CAD Command Area Development

CBE Commercial and Business Enterprises

CCL Cash Compensation under Law

CFG Community Forestry Group

CO Community Organizer

CPR Common Property Resources

CRO Chief Resettlement Officer

CHT Chittagong Hill Tracts

DC Deputy Commissioner (Chief executive officer of the District)

DD Detailed Design

DMS Detailed Measurement Survey

EA Executing Agency

EMP Environmental Management Plan

EP Entitled Person

ESS Environmental and Safeguards Section

FGD Focus Group Discussion

FMD Flood Management and Drainage

GRC Grievance Redress Committee

HH Household

HHH Household Head

IA Implementing Agency

IGA Income Generating Activities

IGP Income Generating Program

INGO Implementing Non Government Organization

IP Indigenous People

IPSAP Indigenous People's Specific Action Plan

IR Involuntary Resettlement

IWRM Integrated Water Resource Management

IWRMU Integrated Water Resource Management Unit of LGED

JVT Joint Verification Team

LA Land Acquisition

LAA Land Acquisition Act

LAO Land Administration Office

LAP Land Acquisition Proposal

LGED Local Government Engineering Department

LMS Local Market Survey

MARV Maximum Allowance Replacement Value

MDGs Millennium Development Goals (2015)

MIS Management Information System

MoLGRDC Ministry of Local Government, Regional Development and Cooperatives

NGO Non Government Organization

NPRR Draft National Policy on Resettlement and Rehabilitation

PD Project Director

PFA Project Funding/Financing Agency

PIC Project Implementation Consultants

PMO Project Management Office

PRA Participatory Rural Appraisal

PSSWRSP Participatory Small-Scale Water Resources Sector Project

PVAT Property Valuation Advisory Team

PWD Public Works Department

O&M Operation and Maintenance

RAC Resettlement Advisory Committee

RC Resettlement Coordinator

RCC Reinforced Concrete

RCO Resettlement Co-coordinator

RF Resettlement Framework

RoW Right of Way

RP Resettlement Plan

RRP Report and Recommendation to the President (ADB)

SES Socioeconomic Survey

SSW-1 SSWR Development Project Phase 1 (Alternative acronym for SSWRSDP)

SSW-2 SSWR Development Project Phase 2

SSWR Small Scale Water Resources

SSWRSDP Small Scale Water Resource Sector Development Project

UP Union Parishad

WMCA Water Management Cooperative Association

Resettlement Framework (RF):

For loss of land, property, income generation opportunity and cultural assets as were adopted at the time of the Loan Agreement, the RF lays out the policy, principles, procedures and entitlements, as well as the institutional responsibilities to be followed in preparing subproject RPs under the Loan. The RF is Supplementary Appendix K: Resettlement Framework for Riverbank Protection Works to the Project's RRP of October 2002².

Resettlement Plan (RP)

A time-bound action plan with budget setting out resettlement strategy, objectives, entitlements, actions, responsibilities, monitoring and evaluation.

Severely Affected Person (SAP):

:

:

A person who will (i) lose more than 10% of total agriculture/aquaculture land holding, and/or (ii) relocate and/or (iii) lose more than 10% of total income sources due to a project.

Squatter :

One that squats: as a: one that settles on property without right or title or payment of rent b: one that settles on public land under government regulation with the purpose of acquiring title.

Union Parishad

The Union Parishad is one of the lowest administrative units in Bangladesh, part of a fourtier local government, namely *Gram* (Village)

Parishad; Union Parishad Upazila (Sub district) Parishad and Zila (District) Parishad.

Uthulies :

Long Term Squatters. Also called informal Settlers, i.e, HHs on other's land with permission.

Vita :

High, raised land, often used for residential purposes

Vulnerable Households

For this Project, vulnerable groups are defined as APs who suffer more-economically and socially-from relocation than other affected population. Based on past experiences from similar Projects, the vulnerable groups include (i) women-headed HHs; (ii) landless HHs (those without agricultural land, and depend largely on day labor for survival); (iii) disabled HHs heads (iv) HHs having residual agricultural land less than 1 acre or losing more than 10% of their income from agriculture due to acquisition and (v) Those APs who have gone below the poverty line due to the subproject.

A. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION

1. Project Backgroudnd

- 1. The participatory small scale water resource sector project intends to increase the agricultural production while reducing poverty in subproject area through sustainable stakeholder driven Small Scale Water Resources (SSWR) management system; and to internalize participatory processes through the strengthening of the Local Government Engineering Department's (LGED's) Integrated Water Resource Management Unit (IWRMU) to plan, implement, monitor and support sustainable SSWR development. LGED is the Project's executing agency (EA).
- 2. The Project builds on lessons from previous ADB investments in the small-scale (less than 1,000 hectare [ha]) water resources sector; specifically, the Small-Scale Water Resources Development Sector Project (SSW 1), which was implemented from 1996 to 2002 and developed 280 subprojects in the western part of the country. Also, the Second Small-Scale Water Resources Development Sector Project (SSW 2), which commenced in 2002 and was completed in 2009 after developing 300 subprojects in 61 of 64 districts of Bangladesh. SSW 3 is the Participatory Small Scale Water Resources Sector Project (PSSWRSP), or 'the Project'.
- 3. The project objectives will be achieved through the participation of stakeholders in identification, implementation, improvement and management of water management related infrastructures. The project infrastructure is diversified, including: re-excavation and improvement of Khals (channels, or rivulets); construction and supplementary irrigation in monsoon/dry season. In addition, suitable hydraulic structures will be constructed, wherever needed.

2. Description of the Subproject

- 4. The Noor Ali-Charakgachia Subproject, in brief, is the re-excavation of a silted canal/khal comprising of eight small Canals with the total length of 8.55 km and is located in Sadar Upazila of Barguna District. The proposed subproject has passed through five villages of one Union (names are in Table-2). Names of the Canals are: (i) Robiul Main Khal(3.0km), (ii) Robiul Branch Khal-1(0.51km), (iii) Robiul Branch Khal-2(0.45km), (iv) Robiul Branch Khal-3(0.27km), (v) West End Khal(1.60km), (vi) Bahrani Khal(0.78km), (vii) Katakhali Khal(0.74km), and (viii) Katakhali Branch-1 Khal(1.20km).
- 5. The Noor Ali-Charakgachia subproject is located at on the influence area of Buriswar River, which makes the eastern boundary. The subproject is located in Burirchar Union of Barguna Sadar Upazila in Barguna District. It is situated between latitudes 22°28′10″ N and longitudes 90°29′31″ E and 90°31′16″ E. Location of the subproject area in Upazila Base Map is shown in Figure-1. A detail Index Map of the subproject showing land topography, existing infrastructures and planned interventions is provided in Figure-1, and the locations of hydrological stations relevant to the subproject in relation to river network is shown in Figure-2 (Regional Hydrological Network Map).

Figure 1 The location of the subproject area in the Upazila base map





Figure :2 Detailed map of the subproject showing existing infrastructure and planned interventions



3. Area and Location

- 6. The Noor Ali-Charakgachia subproject is located in Burirchar Union of Barguna Sadar Upazila of Barguna District. The subproject is spread over five villages namely: (1) West Burirchar, (2) Burirchar, (3) Khajurtola, (4) Est Burirchar, and (5) Charakgachia. The proposed subproject will irrigate about 500 hectare of land and in sharp contrast to it, the APs will lose only 8.75 acre (3.54 hectare) of khas land.
- 7. The subproject is located at the Western side of Burswar river, which is connected with the Paira river During early monsoon Flood water enter into the subproject area from the upland flow through the khal under the subproject and causes drainage congestion. Premonsoon heavy shower sometimes inundate ripen HYV Boro crops during the harvesting stage and damage T. Aman crops due to Drainage congestion during the monsoon. Noor Ali-Charakgachia khal is the main drainage channel of the sub-project and fall into the Buriswar river. The drainage problem is more acute if the there is heavy rainfall and water cannot be drained out to the Zia khal due to siltation of proposed khal. As a result, monsoon rain water accumulates in the lowlands causing inundation for prolonged periods. The khal becomes dry during dry season and there is scarcity of surface water for irrigation.
- 8. The Noor Ali-Charakgachia khal has been silted up significantly. As a consequence, significant water-logging occurs and the khal cannot drain into its outfall khal. Most of the area in the subproject is vulnerable to drought in the Dry Season and flooding in the Monsoon. Monsoon flooding and drainage congestion is the major reason for crop Damage. So by re-excavating the Noor Ali-Charakgachia khal is critical requirements to improve the situation. Drainage improvement will also increase in areas for Rabi or Transplanted Amon crops and facilitate plantation of HYV Boro in a timely manner.

4. Socioeconomic Survey Results of Beneficiaries

- 9. Socioeconomic survey (SES) was undertaken by an INGO upon completion of the subproject's detailed design (DD) to identify all affected persons (APs), their socioeconomic status, and the extent to which they will be affected, including an assessment of their residential properties/assets in the locality. During the survey video filming of the subproject was undertaken. The representative of INGO and Project Implementing Consultant visited the subproject area, met representative of the different beneficiaries and held discussions with the stakeholders. The current document has been prepared taking into account the findings of survey data, field visits, and meetings with different level of stakeholders, and PARs.
- 10. The beneficiary population of the subproject is estimated at 5078 persons living in 1,094 households of 5 villages (names are in para-6 and 14) in the subproject area. The average number of people per household is 4.64. Out of the total 1,094 households about 70% are farmers, 17% day laborers, 3% fishers and navigators, 5% trade transport etc and 5% are in other occupations.
- 11. Out of the total households of the subproject area, roughly 32% are landless, 42% are marginal farmers, and 21% are small farmers, 4% medium, and 1% large farmers. The

proposed subproject covers an area of 750 ha of land of which 500 hectare are expected to be brought under irrigation after the re-excavation of the canal/khal.

- 12. The findings of group discussions showed popular support for the subproject and there is no known opposition to the subproject. There is no social conflict between communities in the area. People believe that the subproject will generate additional and increase agricultural production which will have multi-dimensional effect on poverty reduction and consequent socioeconomic improvement of the areas, so there appeared to be overwhelming support for the subproject. The local people will support the O&M expenditure of the Water Management Cooperative Association (WMCA).
- 13. Proposed interventions through this subproject will not directly cause any adverse Impact, neither on the fisheries resource base or production, but they will create added fisheries habitat in the re-excavated canal. Added fisheries development measures proposed for the subproject will significantly increase the fisheries production potential. Increased fish production and increased access of the poor to the fisheries income will contribute to poverty alleviation.
- 14. Within the command area of this subproject there are 5 beneficiary villages namely: (1) West Burirchar, (2) Burirchar, (3) Khajurtola, (4) Est Burirchar, and (5) Charakgachia. Out of the total 1,094 beneficiary HHs, landless, functionally landless and marginal land owning HHs make up the largest segment, viz: 32% landless, 42% marginal, 21% small, 4% medium, and only 1% large land-holding HHs.

Table 1: Subproject Beneficiary Households by Land Ownership Category

Category	Number of Housholds*	Percent
Landless 0.0 to 0.49 acre	345	32%
Marginal 0.50 to 0.99 acre	464	42%
Subtotal	809	74%
Small 1.00 to 2.49 acre	229	21%
Medium 2.50 to 7.49 acre	49	4%
Large 7.50 + acre	7	1%
Total	1,094	100%

^{*} Total population in 1,094 households = 5,078

15. There are no indigenous people (IP) within the subproject area and no negative social impacts were indicated in group discussions or from the household survey. Group discussions indicated the willingness to support the Water Management Cooperative Associations (WMCAs) establishment and contribute to Operation and Maintenance (O&M) costs. The most important point in favor of re-excavation of this 8.55 km canal/khal is that about 1,094 households of 5 villages would be able to irrigate around 500 hectare of land during the Boro season and in sharp contrast to it, they will lose the right of cultivation in only 8.65 acre khas land located at the silted shoal of the canal/khal.

B. SCOPE OF LAND ACQUISITION AND DISPLACEMENT

1. Subproject Objectives

16. Local people have requested for re-excavation of Noor Ali-Charakgachia Khal to improve the drainage congestion during pre-monsoon flood period and increase storage capacity in the khal to facilitate irrigation in the dry season. The subproject objective is to improve the pre and post monsoon farming conditions with supplementary surface water irrigation as a result of water conservation in the khal.

2. Construction Activities

- 17. To achieve the objective of improved flood/water management, the following physical works are proposed for the Noor Ali-Charakgachia subproject:
- o Re-excavation of 8 small khals of total length=8.55 km(detail description is in para-4);
- Sluice gate=2; and
- o Construction of WMCA office.

3. Scope of Land Acquisition and Resettlement

- 18. The subproject will not acquire any private land; because, all the land within the khal is khas (owned by the Government) land. However, due to loss of opportunity to use the khas land, an overall estimated one hundred twelve (112) households with a population of 473 will be affected. No homestead or Commercial Business Enterprises (CBEs) will be affected by the subproject, so no relocation of residential structures or CBEs are required. There will also not be any negative impacts on Common Property Resources (CPRs) such as mosques, schools, or Union Parishad offices.
- 19. The subproject area covers five (5) villages (names are in Table-2). The extent of impact varies by location. The villages are located along the sides of khal to be reexcavated. APs of all the affected villages will lose access to khas land only; they will not lose any other properties. None of them will slip down to poverty because of losing access to cultivation of khas land.
- 20. The proposed subproject area comprises of silted khals is surrounded by agricultural land only. The subproject area is characterized by the siltation of khal which is actually a low land area affected by early flooding during the monsoon, and sometimes by water logging.

4. Land Use and Settlement Pattern

- 21. At present the entire subproject affected area is being used as agriculture land. It is a rural area with the primary economy based on agriculture. The agriculture land along the khal side is double cropped. The field survey could not identify any negative impacts on any CPRs, such as mosques, schools, or Union Parishad offices.
- 22. The current land use pattern is mixed. Major agricultural production is transplanted Aman and Boro paddy as well as potato, spices and oil seeds. Potato, spices and other Rabi crops are grown in the dry areas around the khal. However, these crops are at risk

from monsoon flooding. Crops are also damaged due to drainage congestion and winter drought. There are many people involved in fisheries activities, mainly open water seasonal fishing. None would be negatively affected by the subproject; rather most of them will be benefited.

5. Methodology of Data Collection

23. The Implementing NGO (INGO) with the help of Project Management Office (PMO) staff, WMCA and the Union Parishad (UP) representative, carried out the DMS and stakeholder meetings with farmers, whenever necessary. The DMS showed very limited negative impact on the affected people due to the completely absence of private land acquisition in this subproject area. All the 112 APs were Included in the Socioeconomic Survey (SES). The households were interviewed through using a structured questionnaire.

Table-2: Number of Affected Households and Area of Land (Dec) by Villages**

SI No	Name of Village	Union	Affected	Percentage	Area of	Average
			Households		Affected	per
			***		Land	Household
1	West Burirchar	Burirchar	43	38.39	344	8
2	Burirchar	Burirchar	33	29.46	278	8.42
3	Khajurtola	Burirchar	4	3.57	15	3.75
4	East Burirchar	Burirchar	15	13.40	140	9.33
5	Charakgachia	Burirchar	17	15.18	88	5.18
Total	5	1	112	100	865	7.72

^{**} Number of Affected villages is 5 & number of beneficiary villages is also 5.

- 24. Table-2 shows the distribution of APs (Affected Persons) by village. More than 38% of the total 112 APs come from one village, West Burirchar, followed by BurirChar, more than 29%. In terms of Union, all of them come from Burirchar Union. PRAs were conducted in two locations which were attended mostly by the APs. These were also attended by the WMCA members and some local elites.
- 25. The total khas land under cultivation is estimated at 8.65 acre (2.471 acre = one hectare) which are being cultivated by 112 Households and their losses will be compensated through giving them some money for the loss of crops. The amount of money to be given to them as compensation is estimated at Tk. as is suggested 225 per decimal by the PVAT. In other words the total amount of compensation will be; Tk. 225X865(Dec)=1,94,625 (Tk. 0.1946 Million). The amount of money to be received by one AP as crop compensation was within 10% of their annual income in all the cases.

^{***} None of the 112 APs is disabled or will lose more than 10% of their annual income. Total population in these 112 households = 473.

Table-3: Distribution of 112 Affected Households in Relation to Area of Khas Land to be Lost by Them

SI No	Name of Village	Number of Affected Households in Relation to Area of Land* to be Lost				
		Up to 10 Dec	Above 10 Dec	Total		
1	West Burirchar	32	11	43		
2	Burirchar	28	5	33		
3	Khajurtola	4	-	4		
4	East Burirchar	10	5	15		
5	Charakgachia	15	2	17		
Total	5	89	23	112		
Pe	rcentage	79.46	20.54	100		

^{*} On an average they will lose 7.72 decimal khas land.

26. Table-3 clearly reflects that 79.46% of the 112 affected households will lose their right of cultivating khas land to the extent of up to 10 decimal; while the rest 20.54% will lose above 10 decimal. Average area of khas land to be lost by all of them is 7.72 decimal. Those who were cultivating above 10 decimal khas land belong to the non-poor group (Table-7) and none of them is income vulnerable.

6. Socioeconomic Profile of APs

27. The socioeconomic profile of all APs is based on a SES covering all the 112 AHHs with a population of 473. The SES was commissioned in September 2012 through trained Enumerators where a structured questionnaire was used as the tool of data collection. In addition, two Participatory Rapid Appraisals (PRA) were also done for knowing some of the qualitative information in depth. The 112 APs are from 5 villages and the number of beneficiary households will be 1,094 from these 5 villages, after re-excavation of canal/khal.

Table-4: Affected Population by Sex and by Village**

SI No	Name of		Sex-wise Population						
31140	Village	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%	Households	
1	West	77	33.17	73	36.84	130	34.85	43	
	Burirchar								
2	Burirchar	76	32.67	65	32.16	121	32.44	33	
3	Khajurtola	17	3.47	15	2.93	12	3.22	4	
4	East	35	12.37	31	12.29	46	12.33	15	
	Burirchar								
5	Charakgachia	47	18.32	37	15.78	64	17.16	17	
Total	5	252	53.27	221	46.72	473	100	112	

^{**} All of them will lose their right of cultivating khas land only. On an average they cultivate 7.72 decimal khas land.

28. Total 473 people from 112 HHs will be affected by the subproject. None of them is title holder. They are using the silted khas land of the canal/khal which is 8.55 km in length. The sex ratio in percentage is male 53.27% and female 46.72%, which are very close to the national rural situation in this respect. The HH size is 4.22 which seems to be closer to rural national average of 4.9. Five of the 112 affected households were headed by females.

Table-5: Identity of Heads of Female Headed Households

SI No	SI No. in	Name of	Name of	Name of Villages
	AP's List	Female Heads	Husbands	
1	16	Ms. Majeda	L/ Rustom Ali	Burirchar
2	44	Fatema	L/ Shomsher Ali	Burirchar
3	53	Jiun Nahar	L/ Ebrahim	West Burirchar
4	101	Salma	L/ Chan Mia	Burirchar
5	111	Lipi Begum	L/ A. Sattar	East Burirchar

29. According to the definition of vulnerable households for this project, a household will be considered as vulnerable if it goes below the poverty line due to the impact of the project. Here, none of them will go below the poverty line due to the impact of the project. They will lose a very small area of khas land only, not any other properties. They will lose only a very small portion of their income due to the loss of right to cultivating the Khas land. In contrast to it, they will be able to enhance their income through irrigating their own land and this facility will be crated after the reexcavation of the canal.

Table-6: Occupations of the Affected Household Heads by Village

SI No	Name of Village	Number of Households by Occupations					
		Agriculture	Business	Service	Housewife	Others	Total
1	West	26	4	5	2	6	43
	Burirchar						
2	Burirchar	25	3	3	2	-	33
3	Khajurtola	4	-	-	-	=	4
4	East Burirchar	11	2	1	1	-	15
5	Charakgachia	17	-	-	-	-	17
Total	5	83	9	9	5	6	112
Pe	Percentage		8.03	8.04	4.46	5.36	100

30. The primary occupation is again agriculture, the traditional livelihood activity of the national rural population. More than 74% of the total 112 (HHs) were involved in agriculture. On the other hand, women were mostly involved in domestic works. It is evident from the above table that the available livelihood options are limited in rural area. The occupations, business and service, shared a very small portion in the total occupational pattern of the affected 112 households. These too occupations together claimed only 16.07% of the total.

Table-7: Distribution of the Affected Households in Relation to Annual Income (Tk)

SI	Name of Villages	Number of H	Number of Households in Relation to Annual Income				
No		Up to 60,000 (Very Poor)	60,001- 72,000 (Poor)	Above 72,000 (Crossed Poor Level)	Total		
1	West Burirchar	26	2	15	43		
2	Burirchar	22	2	9	33		
3	Khajurtola	4	-	-	4		
4	East Burirchar	9	1	5	15		
5	Charakgachia	13	1	3	17		
Total	5	74	6	32	112		
	Percentage	66.07	5.36	28.57	100		

31. None of them will lose more than 10% of the annual income for the loss of right to cultivation of khas land. So, none of them will become poor as the impact of the project. Moreover, through the utilization of irrigation facility to be created after the re-excavation of canal, almost all of them will be able to increase their agricultural production which will result into increment of their income.

Table-8: Membership of the Affected Households' Family Members in NGOs

SI No	Name of	Number of Members in Relation to NGOs*						
	Villages	ASA	BRAC	GB	WMCA	Other	Non	Total
						NGOs	Member	
1	West	8	4	4	32	3	15	43
	Burirchar							
2	Burirchar	7	3	2	25	4	8	33
3	Khajurtola	1	-	1	3	-	1	4
4	East	2	1	2	11	2	4	15
	Burirchar							
5	Charakgachia	3	2	2	10	3	3	17
Total	5	21	10	11	81	12	31	112
Percentage		72.32					27.68	100

^{*} Many of them are members of more than one NGO.

32. Table-8; clearly reflect that, the NGO coverage among the affected 112 households was around 48% and the coverage of WMCA was more than 72%. Thirty one (28%) of the APs were covered by none. So, there is enough scope for integrating the affected households either with a NGO or with the WMCA and the initiative in this respect should be taken by the project.

Table-9: Number of Affected Households in Relation to Latrine in Home

SI No	Name of	Number of Households in Relation to Latrine					
	Villages	Pacca	Semi	No Latrine	Total		
			Pacca				
1	West	5	22	16	43		
	Burirchar						
2	Burirchar	3	16	14	33		
3	Khajurtola	=	2	2	4		
4	East	2	6	7	15		
	Burirchar						
5	Charakgachia	3	7	7	17		
Total	5	13	53	46	112		
Pero	Percentage		47.32	41.07	100		

- 33. Nearly two-fifths of the affected 112 households do not have any kind of latrine, which is the clear reflection of poverty among the affected households. Again, from here it may be said also that some social development activities should also be undertaken in the affected area as the concomitant of poverty reduction activities.
- 34. It has been mentioned in Table-2 that the 112 affected households will lose their right to cultivating 8.65 acre silted khas land when the canal/khal will be reexcavated. It has been assumed (as assessed by the PVAT) that one decimal land produces about 15 kg of paddy and its value is **15 kgXTK.15 per kg = TK. 225.** In terms of money each of them will be given the crop compensation at the rate of Tk. 225 per decimal as has been estimated by the PVAT. On the basis of this compensation rate, the amounts of compensation are calculated for each of the 112 affected persons and the table below provides the distribution of the affected persons in relation to the amounts of losses to be incurred by them.

Table-10: Number of Affected Households in Relation to the Amount of Money to be Lost Due to Losing Access to Khas Land

SI No	Name of Villages		Number of Households in Relation to Amount of Loss					
		Up to- 2000	2001- 3000	3001- 4000	4001- 5000	Above 5000	Total	
1	West	15	20	7	1	-	43	
	Burirchar							
2	Burirchar	16	12	3	2	-	33	
3	Khajurtola	3	1	-	-	-	4	
4	East	9	4	2	-	=	15	
	Burirchar							
5	Charakgachia	15	2	-	-	=	17	
Total	5	58	39	12	3	-	112	
Perc	Percentage		34.82	10.71	2.68	-	100	

- 35. Taking the affected area of khas land and the rate of crop compensation together, it can be computed that the average amount of loss per affected household is around **Tk. 1738 (Tk. 225X865 Dec=Tk. 1,94,625÷112=Tk. 1,738).** The table shows that the amount of loss will be within Tk. 2000 for more than 54% of the total 112 households. Again, none of the 204 APs will lose more than 10% of their annual income due to the loss of cultivation right to khas land. Instead, their income will be increased due to reexcavation of the canal/khal which will create irrigation facility during the Boro season.
- 36. The subproject has a very significant impact on the livelihood of the AHHs. According to the local people they will get more benefit from the subproject compared to their losses. Almost all of the 112 AHHs cultivating khas land within the khal are landless or marginal farmers. Special attention needs to be given to all the AHHs who will become vulnerable due to implementation of the subproject. Livelihood enhancement will be provided through employment and income generating activities for vulnerable AHHs. The related sub-components shown below, and the activities of employment and income generation, will be undertaken by the WMCA.
- ° Sub-component: (a) Community-based routine maintenance of the canal and other water management facilities. The activities under this are: (i) organizing canal maintenance groups; (ii) skills training and capacity building; (iii) delivery of basic tools and equipment; and (iv) engaging APs as works in the subproject.
- ° Sub-component: (b) Social forestry, site plantation, and nursery development. The activities are: (i) organizing Community Forestry Groups (CFGs); (ii) skills training of CFGs; (iii) tree plantation for canals; and (iv) seeds and saplings for establishing nurseries.
- ° Sub-component: (c) Income generation using existing water resources by implementing activities, such as, (i) strengthening self-help groups (SHGs); (ii) skills training and capacity building of groups; and (iii) initial supplies of inputs needed for materializing the training into actions.
- 37. The number of indirectly AHHs is nil. Agricultural lands are mainly cultivated by the farmers with the help of some hired laborers only when required and these laborers also work in other fields in the locality. So there is little chance for them to remain jobless.
- 38. The majority of the APs who participated in the group discussions and stakeholders meetings have expressed their high expectation for social and economic benefits from the subproject. Local administration and people's representatives such as Chairman and members of the UPs have assured the Project authority that they will extend cooperation. They also expected that fishing (open water and cultured) opportunities will be enhanced, which will help in improving their socioeconomic conditions.
- 39. In general, the community responded positively to the subproject. However, some concerns were raised and most of these are related to proper and timely compensation payments for their lost assets and income. Their concerns were over

delays in compensation payment and apprehension of harassment in receiving compensation payment from the Government.

- During meetings the participants indicated that the implementation of the subproject will be beneficial to all the people of the locality. However, according to the subproject feasibility study and present survey, there is no land to be acquired in which case Government payment must not be a big issue. During group discussions participants desired help and assistance from the Project to simplify the compensation payments procedure.
- For security reasons and for ease of payments to APs, temporary camps may be set up in the vicinity of the affected areas.
- People losing livelihood from the cultivated khas land in khal are very much concerned and skeptical about their compensation as they do not have right to the land but have been using it for generation.

C. LAND ACQUISITION AND RESETTLEMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK AND ENTITLEMENTS

1. Land Acquisition and Resettlement Principles

40. Land acquisition and resettlement of the APs, and providing special assistance to vulnerable and indirectly affected APs in re-establishing their livelihood and income have been conducted in accordance with the GoB's Acquisition and Requisition of Immovable Properties Act of 1982 and amendment in 1993. The Draft National Policy on Resettlement and Rehabilitation (NPRR); and the Asian Development Bank's (ADB's) Policy on Involuntary Resettlement (1995)⁷ as well as by following 'best practices' followed in Bangladesh (i) avoiding involuntary resettlement where feasible, (ii) minimizing displacement by considering all alternatives where population displacement is unavoidable, and (iii) ensuring that displaced people receive assistance so that they would be at least as well off as they would have been in the absence of the project. The following are major elements of the policies/practices are expected to be adopted by the Project:

- (i) Involuntary resettlement and loss of livelihood will be avoided where feasible;
- (ii) Where population displacement is unavoidable, it will be minimized by exploring all viable project options;
- (iii) People unavoidably displaced will be compensated and assisted, so that their economic and social future will be generally as favorable as it would have been without the Project;
- (iv) Vulnerable APs will be provided with resettlement facilities or support to resettle in proximity to their social groups and good communication network with employment opportunities;
- (v) People affected will be informed fully and consulted on resettlement and compensation options;

- (vi) Gender equity will be maintained in resettlement planning and implementation;
- (vii) Existing social and cultural institutions of resettlers and their hosts will be supported and used to the greatest extent possible, and resettlers will be integrated economically and socially into host communities;
- (viii) The absence of a formal title to land by some effected groups will not be a bar for compensation, particular attention should be paid to households headed by women and other vulnerable groups, such as indigenous people and ethnic minorities, elderly and disable persons and appropriate assistance provided to help them improve their status;
- (ix) As far as possible involuntary resettlement will be conceived and executed as part of the Project;
- (x) People who will be affected indirectly will be facilitated to regain their livelihood and socio-economic status;
- (xi) Participation of the local community will be ensured through incorporating them in different committees involved in planning through implementation process of RP; and
- (xii) The full cost of resettlement will be included in the presentation of Project costs and benefits

41. The subproject resettlement activities will be carried out in consultation with the APs, and all efforts will be made to minimize disruption during the Project implementation. A Detailed Measurement Survey (DMS), including a full census will be conducted once the detailed design has been approved. The date of census will be the cut-off date for resettlement benefits; and any encroachers/informal settlers after that date will not be entitled to resettlement benefits. For land title-holders, notification under Section 3 by the DC under the LAA will constitute the cut-off date.

2. The Entitlement Matrix

42. An entitlement matrix has been prepared on the basis of currently known impacts that none of the 112 APs will become vulnerable due to the impact of the project. It identifies the categories of impact based on surveys carried out in the subproject area and shows the entitlements for each type of loss. The entitlement matrix will also incorporate potential impacts which will be confirmed by the DMS (census and SES) after finalization and approval of the DD. If new impacts are identified later during preparation of final RP, based on the DMS, then losses will be included in the entitlement matrix and the final RP will be revised accordingly.

Table 11: Entitlement Matrix and Responsible Implementation Agencies

SI #	Nature of Loss	Definition of Entitled Person	Entitlements	Relevant Policy Legal Section	Implementation Issues	Implementation Responsibility
#		(EP)		Section		Responsibility
1	Loss of Land	Legal owner of the	Replacement land or	'	a. Assessment of quantity	a. DC, LGED
	(Agricultural,	land at the time of	CCL (Market value	Requisition of	and quality of land	
	Commercial,	serving notice	assessed by DC plus	' '	b. Assessment of Market	b. DC, LGED
	Homestead,	under Section 3 of	premium as per Law)	Ordinance, 1982	Value	5. 6
	Pond, Gher	LA Laws	Additional grant to	· · ·	-	c. EA/WMCA/UP
	(Shrimp Ponds)		cover Maximum	1982) & amendment	Land Market Survey (LMS)	
	Boroj (Betel Leaf		Allowable	by Ordinance VII of	d. Title updating	d. DC
	Production Land)		Replacement Value	1993	e. Payment of CCL	
			(MARV) of land.		f. APs will be fully informed	e. DC
			Stump duty to		of the entitlements and	
			facilitate land		procedures regarding	f. EA/WMCA/UP, LGED
			purchase;		payment	g. EA, CRO
			Compensation for		g. Additional cash grant to	
			standing crops		cover the replacement	
			assessed by		value of land h. Stamp	
			DC/PVAT; Land		duty will be due to an EP	
			development cost for		in case of land purchase	
			homestead and		within one year of	
			commercial land		receiving CCL from DCs	
			losers (if applicable)		h. Stamp duty will be due to	h. LGED-CRO/EA
			assessed by PVAT		an EP in case of land	
					purchase within one year	
					of receiving CCL from DCs	
					i. Compensation for	i. DC/LGED
					standing crops	

SI #	Nature of Loss	Definition of Entitled Person (EP)	Entitlements	Relevant Policy Legal Section	li	mplementation Issues	Implementation Responsibility
2	Loss of Under	Legal owners(s) of	i. As 1 if DC cannot declare	The Acquisition and	a.	Assessment of	a. DC, LGED
	Water Land (Char		the land khas,	Requisition of		quantity and	
	land)	khas land after	ii. Case of Khas – cash	Immovable Property		quality of land	b. DC, LGED
		legally established	compensation under CCL	Ordinance, 1982	b.	Assessment of	
		AD ⁸ line), the	to respective DCs without	(Ordinance Bo. II of		Market Value	c. EA/WMCA/UP
		previous private	50% Premium	1982) & amendment by	C.	Assessment of	
		owners of Khas land	iii. Value of eroded Khas	Ordinance VIII of 1993		MARV by LMS	d. DC
		identified at the time	land assessed by PVAT-to		d.	Title Updating	e. DC
		of eroding the land	the previous owners		e.	Payment of CCL	f. EA/WMCA/UP,LGED- CRO
		into river/Khal below	whose land was recorded		f.	APs will be fully	
		the AD line as per				informed of the	
		land rent record				entitlements	g. LGED-CRO/EA
						and procedures	h. LGED-CRO/EA
						regarding	
						payment	
					g.	Additional cash	
						grant to cover	
						the	
						Replacement	
						Value of land.	
					h.	Stamp duty will	
						be due to an EP	
						in case of land	
						purchase within	
						one year of	
						receiving CCL	
						from DCs	

SI #	Nature of Loss	Definition of Entitled Person (EP)	Entitlements	Relevant Policy Legal Section	Implementation Issues	Implementation Responsibility
3	Loss of Homestead/Commercial and Other structure by Owners		i. CCL (Market value plus 50% premium as per Law) ii. Additional grant to cover market value of the structure iii. Transfer grant(TG) @ 12.5% of the value of non-masonry (kutcha) and semi-pucca and 5% for masonry (pucca) structure assessed by PVAT iv. Owner will be allowed to take all salvageable materials (free of cost) without delaying the project work v. Re-construction grant(CG) @ 12.5% of the value of all structures assessed by the PVAT for titled owners vi. Special assistance for Female Headed/Vulnerable Households @ TK 2000, TK 3000 and TK 5000 for kutcha, semi pucca and pucca structure vii. Land/Homestead Development Grant assessed by PVAT	The Acquisition and Requisition of Immovable Property Ordinance, 1982 (Ordinance Bo. II of 1982) & amendment by Ordinance VIII of 1993	a.	Responsibility
			viii. Special Assistance of TK 5000/= for Vulnerable Households having disabled member in the family			

SI #	Nature of Loss	Definition of Entitled Person (EP)	Entitlements	Relevant Policy Legal Section	Implementation Issues	Implementation Responsibility
4	Loss of Access to Cultivate Land By Farmers, Tenant/Sharecroppe rs including	Farmers, tenants and sharecroppers of the land under contract as identified by the	 i. Transition Allowance equivalent to one year's net income from the cultivable land to farmer, 	The Acquisition and Requisition of Immovable Property Ordinance, 1982 (Ordinance Bo. II of	a. Individuals identified by the census/SES as Farmer, tenant or sharecropper of	a. EA/WMCA/UP, LGED
	cultivators of Khas land	SES to be conducted during implementation of RP	tenant/sharecropper, based on Market Value of the crops/fish	1982) & amendment in 1993	land b. Cash grant as determined by assessment will be paid after taking	b. LGED CRO/EA
					possession of the land c. The legal owner certifies the tenancy	c. LGED
					d.SES will identify the farmer(cultivator of khas land), tenant/share	d. LGED

SI	Nature of Loss	Definition of	Entitlements	Relevant Policy Legal	Implementation	Implementation
#		Entitled Person		Section	Issues	Responsibility
		(EP)				
5	Loss of	Persons with legal	i. Compensation at the	The Acquisition and	a. Assessment of loss	a. DC, LGED
	Trees/Perennials	ownership of the	market value, based on	Requisition of	and market value	
		land where the	productivity and age of	Immovable Property	of the loss	
		trees are located	trees and value of the	Ordinance, 1982	b.Payment of Cash	b. DC
		and crops are	fruit assessed by	(Ordinance Bo. II of	Compensation for	
		grown at the time	Property Valuation	1982) & amendment by	the losses	
		of serving final	Assessment Team	Ordinance VIII of 1993	c. Additional cash	c. LGED
		notice or as	(PVAT)		grant to cover the	
		recorded in the LA	ii. Additional 30% of		replacement value	
		award book	assessed value as		of the lost	
			compensation for fruit		tree/perennials (if	
			bearing trees with		necessary)	
			timber		d.Owner will be	
			iii. One time crop of each		allowed to fell and	
			grown up tree (like		take the tree and	
			banana tree)		fruits, after	
			iv.Tree losers will be		payment of	
			encouraged to plant		compensation	
			more trees by providing			
			5 saplings free of cost to			
			each affected			
			households.			

SI	Nature of Loss	Definition of	Entitlements	Relevant		Implementation Issues		Implementation
#		Entitled Person		Policy Legal				Responsibility
		(EP)		Section				
6	Loss of	Owner of the	i. Cash compensation for the	Measures in	a.	Payment of structure cost	a.	LGED-CRO/IADC
	Residence/Commer	structure	structure at market value	RP as per	b.	Verification of SES and other		
	cial Structures by	identified by SES	ii. Transfer grant (TG) @ 12.5%	ADB		records	b.	LGED
	Owner or Squatters		of the value of kutcha and	guidelines	c.	APs will be fully informed	c.	LGED
			semi-pucca and 5% for pucca			about their entitlement and		
			structure assessed by PVAT			assisted in obtaining it	d.	LGED-CRO/EA
			iii. Owner will be allowed to		d.			,
			take all salvageable materials			household will be paid		
			(free of cost without delaying			before/during vacating the		
			the project work			project sites	e.	LGED-CRO/EA
			iv.Re-construction grant (CG) @		e.			·
			12.5% of the value of all			commercial structure at the		
			structures assessed by the			same rate to the owners of	f.	LGED-CRO/EA
			PVAT for titled owners			structures		
					f.	Reconstruction Grant for		
						each structure		
						(household/commercial) will	g.	LGED-CRO/EA
						be paid before/during		·
						vacating the Project site		
					g.	Special assistance to Female		
						Headed/vulnerable		
						Household		

SI	Nature of Loss	Definition of	Entitlements	Relevant Policy		Implementation Issues	Implementation
#		Entitled Person		Legal Section			Responsibility
		(EP)					
7	Loss of access to	Tenants	i. One-time cash grant for	Measures in RP			a. LGED
	house/commercial	renting/leasing	facilitating alternative	as per ADB		Records and other	
	structure (rented or	the property as	housing/structure assessed	guidelines		Records	
	lease)	identified by the	by PVAT		b.	A shifting Allowance per	b. LGED-CRO
		socio-economic				unit will be paid before	
		survey				relocation from project	
						sites	
8	Losses of residence	Heads of	i. Cash compensation for the	Measures in RP			a. DC
	by informal	Households	structure at market value	as per ADB		recognized by DCs	
	settlers/encroachers	occupying	ii. Transfer grant (TG) @	guidelines	b.	Verification of SES data	b. LGED
	or unauthorized	homestead land	12.5% of the value of kutcha			and the Award Book	
	occupants	illegally or	and semi-pucca and 5% for		c.	Compensation for loss of	c. LGED-CRO
		squatting on RoW	pucca structure assessed by			structure (as mentioned	
		as identified by	PVAT			in Sl No. 6)	
		SES	iii. Owner will be allowed to		d.	Transfer or shifting cost	d. LGED-CRO/EA
			take all salvageable materials			per household (as	
			(free of cost without delaying			mentioned in SI NO.6)	
			the project work				
			iv Re-construction grant (CG)				
			@ 12.5% of the value of all				
			structures assessed by the				
			PVAT for titled owners				

SI #	Nature of Loss	Definition of Entitled Person (EP)	Entitlements	Relevant Policy Legal Section	Implementation Issues	Implementation Responsibility
9	Loss of Business by shops/business owners due to dislocation	Owner/Operator of the business as recorded by the Socio- economic Survey	 i. Business Restoration Grant to owners, renters and leaseholders assessed by PVAT (not less than 6 months net income) ii. Non titled shop owners above the poverty line will not be eligible for business restoration grant 	Measures in RP as per ADB guidelines	 a. All persons recorded by the SES b. Cash grant to be paid before leaving the project land 	a. LGED b. LGED-CRO/EA
10	Loss of income, Employment/Work Opportunity of Full time/part time Workers	Workers of affected business as recorded in the SES	i. One time cash grant for 90 days at the rate of local wage rate	Measures in RP as per ADB guidelines	 a. All persons recorded by the SES b. Cash grant to be paid before taking possession of land c. Involvement of the EP in Project civil works 	a. EA/LGED-CRO b. LGED-CRO/EA c. EA/LGED-CRO

SI #	Nature of Loss	Definition of Entitled Person (EP)	Entitlements	Relevant Policy Legal Section		Implementation Issues	Implementation Responsibility
11	Loss of access to utility services such as piped Water	Legal owner of the structure with utility	 i. Cash compensation for the utility facilities at Market value 			losses	a. DC b. EA/LGED
	supply, Gas, Electricity, Sewerage line or Telephone	services at the time of serving LA notice section 3 as recorded in	transfer/re-installment	Ordinance, 1982		other records APs will be fully informed about their entitlement and	c. EA/LGED
		the LA Award Book. Or only the legal owner of the utility service		amendment by Ordinance VIII of 1993	d.	assisted in obtaining it A transfer/re- installment grant for identified utilities to	
		as identified by SES				each household/structure owner (renter) will be paid during or after	d. LGED-CRO
						vacating the project sites	

SI #	Nature of Loss	Definition of Entitled Person (EP)	Entitlements	Relevant Policy Legal Section	Implementation Issues	Implementation Responsibility
12	Loss of community facilities/common property resources by APs	Community as a whole where the APs will relocate		The Acquisition and Requisition of Immovable property Ordinance, 1982 (Ordinance Bo. II of 1982) & amendment by Ordinance VIII of 1993	a. CCL b. Cash grant for transfer/reconstruction of structure	a. DC b. LGED-CRO/IA
13	Adverse impact on the (vulnerable): disabled/poor/ver y poor/female headed households	Female heads of HHs, poor and very poor APs disabled heads of HHs etc. When they become poor as the impact of project.	to each vulnerable AP will be determined by the Executing	special attention	a. Selection of vulnerable groups and householdsb. Fixation of amounts of grants to be given to an AP in each type of vulnerable group	a. LGED-CRO/EA b. LGED-CRO/EAs
14	Adverse impact on the host communities due to relocation of APs during and after project implementation	Affected or host area/village where the APs will relocate	i. Provision for additional civic amenities (roads, tube-well for drinking water, community slab latrines, to community facilities)	N/A	 a. Assessment of community need b. Consult the host population and provision for common property resources c. Implement the mitigation programs 	a. LGED b. LGED c. LGED-CRO/EA

D. CONSULTATION AND DISCLOSURE

1. Consultation and Stakeholders' Participation

43. Consultation and communication with APs and other stakeholders during the preparation stage of the subprojects will be an integral part of gathering relevant data for impact assessment. Moreover, Sharing of knowledge and experiences help the development of appropriate options for resettlement of APs. The RP will be disclosed to

the affected community in Bengali in FGDs to obtain the views of APs and other stakeholders on the compensation and resettlement provisions as per Government laws and ADB guidelines. As appropriate, the contribution of APs and beneficiary groups will be included in the subproject's RP.

2. Grievance Redress Mechanism

44. The Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Cooperatives (MoLGRDC) through a gazette notification formed two committees namely Property Valuation Advisory Team (PVAT) and Grievance Redress Committee (GRC) vide No 40.068.004.00.002.2011-161 dated 10-03-2011 for implementation of the Project's subproject RP. The GRC was formed for resolving any grievances involving resettlement benefits, relocation and other assistance. The scope and responsibility of the Grievance Redress Committee has been clearly defined in the gazette.

45. The GRC consists of one local Representative from LGED who will chair the GRC. Members will include AP representatives, including one male and one female; representatives of the UP; and one member from the INGO, who will act as member secretary. The GRC will be formed immediately after LAP/RP is approved; and the PIC, Social Safeguard Specialist or INGO Area Manager will facilitate and coordinate the GRC activities.

46. The GRC will have the power to resolve resettlement and compensation issues preemptive to their being addressed through the legal system. The functions of the GRC will be to:

- 1. Receive application of APs grievance within one month of the receipt of ID card or from when APs are informed of their entitlements.
- 2. Hold open hearings in the office of the Chairperson and resolve the grievance within 15 days of receiving complaints from APs.
- 3. Inform aggrieved persons about GRC meetings and give them an opportunity to place their grievance before the GRC.
- 4. Keep meeting minutes and records of grievances.

- 5. Refer the APs grievances to the Dc or the concerned legal authority, if the grievance relates to land acquisition or conventional law.
- 6. Make decisions to resolve APs grievances following RP policy, if outside conventional law and the grievance does not lend itself to arbitration.
- 7. Amicably resolve issues quickly without resorting to expensive, time consuming legal actions.
- 8. Ensure participation of concerned local people and be an advocate for the interests of vulnerable APs.

47. Prior to using the GRC, all efforts will be made at the Subproject level to reach informal resolution of AP grievances. Only then will the GRC be approached by APs having grievances. Grievance cases from the APs will be received in the office of the GRC Chairperson. Upon receipt of complaints, the GRC will organize hearings and pass verdicts, which will be formally conveyed to the concerned APs. The GRC will settle the disputes within 15 days of receiving the complaint from APs. If the complaint is not resolved at GRC level, the matter may be referred to the court.

E. COMPENSATION AND RESETTLEMENT BUDGET AND FINANCING

48. This subproject does not need any budget for land acquisition as all the land is khas land (owned by the Government) and in this project this is being cultivated by 112 persons. As per RF, bearing of the full costs of compensation will be the responsibility of LGED and they will pay only for the compensation of crops at the rate of TK. 225 per decimal as determined by the PVAT. In this process, the total amount of crop compensation is 8.19 acre = 819 decimal (one crop) X TK. (225X1)=TK. 184275+0.46 acre = 46 decimal (two crops) X TK. (225X2)=TK.20,700, Total TK. 2,04,975. It is to be noted that the entire compensation amount is outside the CCL and to be given/paid by LGED through the INGO with the help of DC office, whenever needed.

Table 12: Budget for Compensation and Special Assistance to APs

No.	Description	Number of	Rate (TK)	Amount (TK)
		APs	*	
Α	Compensation for Loss of crops	112	As per	2,04,975
	only. The entire project area is on		PVAT	
	khas land which is being cultivated		Rate = Tk.	
	by 112 persons. All of them will get		225 per	
	only crop compensation		decimal	
В	Preparation of EP/EC file & payment			20,160
	statement (Tk. 150 per AP), and cost			
	of meetings, stationery, food &			
	other miscellaneous cost for LGED			
	officials during disbursement of			
	compensation (Tk. 30 per AP): total			
	Tk. 180 per AP.			
С	Total			2,25,135

[•] As per PVAT, production of one decimal land = 15 kg paddy, value per kg = Tk. 15, value of 15 kg = 15 kg X Tk. 15 = Tk. 225. In the entire affected land only one crop is grown in a year.

49. The cost of cash compensation will be provided by the Project. The benefits will be paid directly to eligible persons (EPs) by LGED. The rehabilitation and training for APs will be provided through WMCA for skills and livelihood support including agriculture and fisheries and livestock related activities. The training program will be based on the vulnerability and need assessment carried out through the census, SES and consultation exercise.

F. RESETTLEMENT ACTION PLAN IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

1. Implementation Schedule

50. The Project's institutional strengthening and capacity building activities will commence in the first year of the Project; these activities will be gradually tapered off towards Project completion. Subproject Implementation will be conducted following a rolling program over the 7 year life of the Project. RPs will be implemented before the award of civil award contracts. However, the Implementation schedule for subprojects will be prepared considering the possible changes from the Detailed Design and DMS. Time schedule for RP Implementation for this subproject is presented in Table-13.

Table-13: RAP Implementation Schedule of Noor Ali-Charakgachia Subproject

Activities	Months											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Request for Formation	×											
of Committees												
Formation of PVAT/GRC		х										
Draft DD Completed			Х	х	х							
PMO Consulting				х	х	х						
WMCA/APs/Beneficiarie												
s on DD												
Finalizing DD						х	×					
DMS Census/SES (DMS)						х	×					
Done by PMO/WMCA												
PMO/WMCA							Х	×				
Census/SES (DMS)												
Analysis by PIC												
PMO/WMCA Video							×					
Filming of ROW												
Overlay DD & Mauza							××					
Maps							×					
Hiring INGO						Х						

INGO Reviews/Certifies PMO Census/SES/Video			х	х	х	х				
Prepare RP				Х	Х					
ADB Clearance of Revised RP					х					
Disclosure of Revised RP with WMCA/APs/Beneficiarie s					х					
Compensation payment						Х	х	Х	х	
						And on ward				

2. Monitoring and Evaluation

- 51. LGED, through the ESS, will establish a monitoring system involving the Chief Resettlement Officer (CRO), District and Upazila officers of LGED and the PIC for collecting, analyzing and preparing Quarterly Progress Reports on the progress of RP implementation. LGED will also provide an Annual Report to ADB, the Project Funding Agency (PFA).
- 52. The RP monitoring will be conducted to provide feedback to LGED and to assess implementation effectiveness. A Mid-term Review of the monitoring and evaluation reports and other relevant data will identify the actions needed to improve the resettlement performance. Evaluation of RP implementation will assess whether the resettlement objectives were appropriate and whether they were met, specifically, whether livelihoods and living standards were restored or enhanced. The evaluation will also assess resettlement efficiency, effectiveness, impact and sustainability, drawing upon lessons learned as a guide to future resettlement planning.

a. Internal Monitoring

53. Internal monitoring will be carried out by the ESS with support from the CRO, field staff at LGED District and Upazila offices; and the PIC Resettlement Specialist will establish a monthly monitoring system and prepare a monthly Progress Report on all aspects of RP implementation. The initial census and SES of this RP will provide the benchmark data; and periodic surveys will be carried out to measure

changes against this baseline data. Assisted by District and Upazila Engineers, and PIC Resettlement Specialist, the Project Director (PD) will monitor land acquisition and resettlement. The existing LGED Management Information system (MIS) can be updated accordingly to incorporate the necessary data and Environment and Safeguard Section (ESS) of IWRMU will tabulate quantitative information obtained through monitoring.

- 54. The Social Safeguard Specialist with the PIC team will be supervising and monitoring the RP implementation for LGED. The PIC will subcontract an external independent monitoring and evaluation agency to an experienced person/firm or institution (External Monitor) that has sufficient experience and understanding of resettlement. The tasks of the external monitor will be to: (i) verify results of internal monitoring (ii) assess whether resettlement objectives have been met, especially whether livelihoods and living standards have been restored or enhanced; (iii) assess resettlement efficiency, effectiveness, impact and sustainability, drawing lessons as a guide to future resettlement policy making and planning; and (iv) ascertain whether the objectives were suited to AP conditions. The External Monitor will design and adopt methods and tools for data collection facilitating a comparable database of "before" and "after" resettlement conditions.
- 55. In addition to regular review missions, the PFA (here it ADB) will undertake a comprehensive Mid-Term Review of the RP implementation. A post-evaluation of RP implementation will be carried out by the ADB to assess the resettlement impact in terms of adequacy and deficiency in planning and implementation of resettlement activities.

G. IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENT

1. Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Cooperatives (MoLGRDC)

56. The MoLGRDC, through LGED, has the overall responsibility of coordinating, planning, implementing and financing the Project. LGED fully recognizes the importance of the Project's resettlement programs. Therefore, the PIC will include an International Safeguards Specialist and a Resettlement Specialist with clearly defined tasks, including establishing an income restoration program. The Senior Sociologist of IWRMU has been appointed as Chief Resettlement Officer (CRO) to supervise and District Socio Economist will act as Resettlement Co-coordinator (RCO) with the help of existing LGED Community Organizers (COs) and engineers at the Upazila level.

2. Water Management Cooperative Association (WMCA)

- 57. The WMCA is central to the subproject development process including subsequent system operation and maintenance activities. As a registered cooperative, this inclusive, community based organization is completely member-based, member-owned and member-managed institution. Project based links between WMCA and UP were formed early in the subproject development process, with UP involved in identification and approval process for commencing interventions, conflict resolution and potential sharing of office facilities. Resettlement plan implementation will be undertaken by the WMCA with representation from UP (Chairman or Member), as a locally based civil society group fully capable of responding to its required role. Ongoing support and institutional strengthening of WMCA through overall project activities will better position the organization as a suitable entity for RP implementation.
- 58. Targeted training for the WMCA Management Committee (and relevant subcommittee members) in the main principles of involuntary resettlement (IR) and their subproject specific role and responsibilities, and procedures for implementation will be provided by the project under its capacity building plan. Close facilitation and monitoring will also be provided by the PIC Resettlement Specialist. Implementation will be in coordination with the DC, LGED and the PIC (Resettlement Specialist).

3. Resettlement Processing Committees/Teams

59. The LGED will form various Committees/Teams for implementation of the RP at the field level. The PMO representative will work as Member Secretary for all the Committees/Teams involving representatives of the DC, LGED, UP and APs, as the case may be. These Committees/Teams will ensure stakeholder, participation and uphold the interest of the vulnerable APs. The power and jurisdictions of the committees are clearly defined in the relevant Government notifications. The committees have been formed at the subproject level.

a. Property Valuation Advisory Team (PVAT)

60. A PVAT has been formed by MoLGRDC through a gazette notification vide No 40.068.00.00.002.2011-161 dated 10-03-2011 for implementation of the RP. The PVAT will determine the current market price and replacement cost of acquired land, physical structure, trees and other properties. The PVAT is comprised of the 'not below the rank' of Sr. Assistant Engineer from LGED; a representative of the concerned DC; and the area manager of INGO. The LGED representative chairs the PVAT, and the INGO representative will act as Member Secretary.

b. Resettlement advisory Committee (RAC)

61. As per RF the INGO will form RAC to involve the local communities and APs in the implementation process. The RAC will consist of a representative from APs; women and vulnerable groups; and the UP Chairman or Member. The LGED personnel will chair the RAC, and one representative of the INGO will act as the Member Secretary. RAC will get inputs from the APs and community, ensuring local participation; and the RAC will assist the INGO in RP implementation.

c. Implementation Guidelines

62. The LGED does not have any set of codified rules for payment of grants to the Entitled Persons (EPs). Under these circumstances, a detailed RP implementation guideline (Payment Modality) has been prepared and duly approved by the IWRMU to implement the RP at the field level. The LGED and the INGO will follow the Payment Modality for payment of compensation to the EPs/APs.